LIBERALISM DEBUNKED

By A. J. Reffes

Progress Publishers

For Kathy, friend, mentor and wife. *Stupor Mundi.*
Copyright ©2025 by A. J. Reffes. All rights reserved. Published by Progress Publishers, Berlin, Germany.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION 3
DIVERSITY HIRES ROB THE LOUVRE 4
REDS RAPE CHILDREN 6
MONUMENT OF SHAME 8
REVISIONISTS HARD AT WORK 11
RED FRONT MARKED *SOCIAL AND EMOTIONAL LEARNING* 13
AMERICA TODAY: TOTALITARIANS LEFT AND RIGHT 16
TRUMP FIGHTS RED MANDATES 18
REDS SHUT DOWN AMERICA 20
BELGIUM TODAY: A RED NARCO CRIME STATE 23
LIBERALISM DEBUNKED 25
MARXIST AND MUSLIM MASTERS OF THE WORLD 27
SUPREME COURT ELECTS CAESAR PRESIDENT 30
THE POWERS THAT BE 32
JOE BIDEN'S APPARATUS OF REPRESSION 35
RED MONSTERS ROAM THE WORLD 37
DICK CHENEY DIES OF DERANGEMENT 39
RED STAR AND RED CRESCENT RIDE TO VICTORY IN NEW YORK 42
ELECTION DAY, NOVEMBER 4, 2025: MARXISTS SWEEP AMERICA 44
MATHEMATICS IN FREE FALL 46
THE TRANSGENDERIST PERPETUAL MOTION MACHINE 49

INTRODUCTION

These reports are intended for a general, nonspecialist audience. They run the gamut of the arts and sciences, historical and current events, and should provide the reader with useful introductions to given topics. May they encourage a few happy hours of suggestive thought!

DIVERSITY HIRES ROB THE LOUVRE

It took seven minutes. On October 19 at 9:30 am, thirty minutes after the Louvre opened, four people arrived on motor scooters at the Seine side of the museum. Their faces concealed with balaclavas, two members gained access to a first floor balcony using a basket lift. Entering the Apollo Gallery, they stole eight pieces of the French Crown Jewels worth tens of millions of dollars. At 9:37 am, they sped away on motor scooters. On Monday, October 20, the museum's website stated: "The Louvre will remain closed today for exceptional reasons. All reservations for today's date will be reimbursed. We apologize for the inconvenience." The "inconvenience" was caused by a burglary of national treasures committed by a set of far left policies implanted throughout the West. **The Heist.** The Louvre heist was committed by a neoMarxist order of race and gender discrimination targeting white males for exclusion and segregation. It was committed by a regime of racism and sexism promoting Hitler's world view under the banners of *diversity*, *equity* and *inclusion.* It was committed by a legal requirement for the recruitment of women as senior staff in France's cultural institutions. It was committed by Laurence Des Cars, director of the Louvre, and by Dominique Boffin, director of security for the museum, both hired under a feminization program, hired because they were women and not because they were the best qualified candidates for their jobs. These diversity hires made the spectacular burglary, humiliating France and making it an object of mockery, an inside job. The burglary was committed by a government decayed by the moral rot of diversity policies. It was committed by diversity hires aiding and abetting organized crime. By diversity hires failing to rectify the museum's notorious security deficiencies, documented by the National Audit Office. By diversity hires allowing thieves to seize priceless jewels in broad daylight in an unsophisticated operation lasting a matter of minutes. By diversity hires held in contempt by their staff, by the nation and by the world: "The Louvre's head of security was accused of being a diversity hire who put France's national treasures at risk as the blame game over Sunday's jewellery heist erupted. On Monday, the manhunt continued for the four masked burglars who carried out the 'theft of the decade' of jewellery belonging to Napoleon's family. French investigators said that nothing had been ruled out, including an inside job, but said the evidence was currently pointing towards organized crime. The daring daylight raid that humiliated France has also exposed long standing security concerns around the Louvre. Dominique Buffin, 46, was hired as the first female security chief by Laurence Des Cars, the museum's first female director, last September. But on Monday, Ms Buffin, who has held roles in the police and the French culture ministry, faced calls to resign and accusations that she was unfit for the role. Marion Marechal, the MEP who is Marine Le Pen's niece said France was the 'laughing stock of the world' because of the 'ridiculous theft.' She said on social media, that '[Culture Minister] Rachida Dati must demand the immediate resignation of the museum's director Laurence Des Cars and the security chief Dominique Buffin, whom she appointed–*Le Monde* was delighted–as part of a feminization policy. Evidently at the cost of forgoing competence and endangering the cultural heritage of our nation.' Ms Le Pen, the leader of the National Rally party, said the raid was a 'wound to the country's soul.' Ms Le Pen's protege, Jordan Bardella, the president of National Rally, also lashed out at the theft. He said: 'This heist, which allowed thieves to steal the Crown Jewels of France, is an intolerable humiliation for our country. How far will the decay of the state go?' Gerald Darmanin, the Justice minister, told Inter Radio: 'What is certain is that we have failed, since people were able to park a furniture hoist in the middle of Paris, get people up it in several minutes to grab priceless jewels, and give France a terrible image.' When she took over in 2021, Ms Des Cars asked Paris police to carry out a security audit of the museums. Ms Dati said on Sunday after the raid that recommendations based on the audit were made a 'few weeks, a few months ago.' They 'are beginning to be implemented' she said of changes that were meant to address decades old concerns about the museum's security. Security systems were branded old and inadequate in a report by the Court of Auditors, which is equivalent to the National Audit Office, before the raid. The findings,

which included exposing a lack of CCTV equipment in a room full of priceless artefacts, was leaked after the crown jewels were stolen. Pierre Roseberg was the Louvre's director the last time the museum was raided by burglars. He warned security was 'fragile' after a painting by Camille Corot was stolen in broad daylight in 1998, which was the last recorded theft at the Louvre. *Le Monde* reported that Ms Des Cars addressed staff after the raid on Sunday. Some employees booed her. Some complained that a plan to modernize museum security had recently been postponed in a strategy document for 2025-2029 and complained about a lack of resources." (*Louvre Security Chief Accused Of Being 'Diversity Hire'* by James Crisp and Kieran Kelly). **The Heist.** The Louvre heist was committed by women hired on the basis of their gender and not their competence. By policies of feminization overriding all considerations of merit. By affirmative action sex ndiscrimination as brazen and audacious as the heist itself. By diversity hires allowing French culture to be injured, vandalized and carried away in front of security guards. By diversity hires who did not train or order museum staff to intervene when a robbery is in progress. By diversity hires who allowed the scintillating gems of French history, the emerald and sapphire earrings, necklaces and tiaras of the Bourbon monarchy, to be stolen in the blink of an eye in the largest museum heist in a generation. By diversity hires who had neglected to instal security cameras in the wing where the stolen jewels were housed. By diversity hires who had been given their posts in a sexist diversity, equity and inclusion program seeking to establish gender balance in place of merit selection. By diversity hires who destroyed France's cultural heritage by destroying the Louvre's security system, and were condemned by the friends of the nation: "The head of security at the Louvre Museum was a diversity hire who was chosen because of her characteristics rather than 'competence,' French sovereigntist politician Marion Marechal said as she demanded heads roll after last week's jewel heist. The Louvre, the world's largest museum, has undergone a process of forced 'feminization' at the expense of 'competence' which endangers France's cultural heritage, French Member of the European Parliament Marion Marechal of the famed right wing Le Pen political dynasty has said. The remarks come as notable figures from France's right decry last week's audacious heist, called the largest museum robbery of a generation, as a total humiliation on the world stage. National Rally party leader—and Marechal's aunt— Marine Le Pen said the theft of artefacts of French history including emerald and sapphire earrings, necklaces, and tiaras is a 'wound to the French soul' while her party colleague and protege Jordan Bardella called the theft an 'unbearable humiliation.' Ultraconservative leader Eric Zemmour took a broader view of attacks on French culture and said of the robbery: 'Our churches are being vandalized, our heritage is being abandoned, and a simple freight elevator overcomes the security of the Louvre, the largest museum in the world. A country that does not protect its heritage is doomed to disappear. It is time for a revival.' It was quickly revealed after the attack on the Louvre that long promised security improvements are years behind schedule, and in the wing where the stolen jewels were housed, many rooms don't even have basic equipment like security cameras. Urgent questions have been raised about why, given it was stated at the time of the robbery five museum staff were in the room, none of them intervened to prevent the theft. Marechal pointed to the recently appointed head of security at the Louvre, stating that what are widely known as DEI policies to enforce gender balance on senior roles had eroded competence at the museum. She said 'France has been the laughingstock of the world because of the ridiculous theft of the crown jewels at the Louvre' and called on France's Minister of Culture to demand resignations. She said: '[The Minister] must demand the immediate resignation of the museum's director Laurence Des Cars and the security chief Dominique Buffin, whom she appointed–*Le Monde* was delighted–as part of a feminization policy. Evidently at the cost of forgoing competence and endangering the cultural heritage of our nation.' Director Des Cars is reported that she was booed by staff when she attempted to address them in person after the heist at the weekend, reports *The Times*. Staff have previously gone on strike at the museum over security concerns in 2013, 2019, and 2025." (*Calls On Alleged Diversity Hire Head Of Louvre Security To Resign After Humiliating Heist Of Crown Jewels* by Oliver JJ

Lane). **The Heist.** *In 2021, the Louvre tapped a woman, Laurence des Cars, as their Chair with a mission to 'feminize recruitment' to key posts in the museum. In 2024, almost a year prior to the date of the break in, Laurence des Cars tapped ANOTHER WOMAN, Dominique Buffin, as the museum's HEAD OF SECURITY. A French newspaper interviewed Buffin after securing the high profile post: 'Policing is a risky profession a man's job. Well, actually, no, assures Dominique Buffin.' Just a year later, a cadre of thieves managed to ransack precious historical jewels at the Louvre after comically climbing a massive ladder from the street in what could've been a scene from The Pink Panther. None of it was sophisticated, nor stealthy. Yet, no one stopped them. You cannot build a competent society when you place diversity quotas over merit. It fails EVERY TIME*." (*DEI At The Center Of Louvre Heist* by Benny Johnson, X). Diversity quotas. Feminized recruitment. Individual talent and ability sacrificed on the altar of gender politics. Women hired because they are women and hired to advance the power of the far left regime. Radical feminists in key positions, as incompetent as they are ideological, placing the nation's history and heritage in mortal danger. On October 19, 2025, the raiders of the Louvre used power tools and a vehicle mounted electric hoist to commit their crime. They used more than these. They struck the Louvre because France's diversity politics had opened the gates to them.

REDS RAPE CHILDREN

It is the stuff of memories which are cherished forever. It is place of natural beauty and natural innocence where children develop themselves, strengthen their character, further their independence, make friends, learn hobbies, and gain knowledge of the land and sky. Hiking, swimming, kayaking, photography, drama, dance, rock climbing, and arts and crafts fill the children's days and allow them to flourish as they have never flourished before. This is the world of summer camp and children in nations far and wide eagerly await their camp experiences, and are filled with excitement at the summer plunge into their dreams. In the town of Bernedo in Spanish Basque Country, in a miniscule entity with a population of 546, horror has reared its head into the summer camp reveries of children and made them run for their lives. A summer camp called Euskal Udalekuak has constructed a bright sign for children and parents intended to conceal the darkness residing within. It is a sign touting the camp as a course of education in Basque language and culture, and reads: "Camp Euskal Udalekuak helps children live in Basque, shows that Basque is a useful tool for everyday life, and brings together children from all over the Basque territory so that they can take home from summer camps the richest possible view of Basque and Basque culture." The reality is a universe far away from this and a product of the sickness of our times. Fueled by mass popular support, it is a sickness which has spread into every corner of society and left nothing unharmed in its wake. It has invaded the pristine world of summer camps, blackened its children's lives, and filled them with misery and terror. The reality awaiting children beyond the happy sign of Camp Euskal Udalekuak is the iron heel of Marxism, seeking to transform children into faithful young voices of the party line. The party line includes gender ideology and it has set forth a manifesto for summer camps establishing a round the clock course of mental destruction. **Rape.** The festival of fear and shock called Camp Euskal Udalekuak proclaims that a progressive summer camp is a course of indoctrination in gay politics, lesbian politics and transgenderism. It is a field for transgender activism. It promotes the denial of sex chromosomes and the biological basis of sex determination in the name of achieving body positivity, normalizing bodies, breaking stigmas, free ourselves from shame, and accepting gender diversity. It seeks to queer children and destroy heterosexual impulses. It seeks to make children nonbinary in gender, and both male and female at one and the same time. It seeks to transform heterosexual children into fags and dykes. It promotes the virtues of gay sex, lesbian sex and transgenderism in the name of progressive sex education. It is staffed by counsellors holding teaching degrees in gay, lesbian and transgenderist ideologies. It condemns as transphobic hate mongers all those who recognize biological reality and the

impossibility of changing genders. It rejects traditional yes-no, A is A, binary logic in favor of gender contradiction and indeterminacy. It is staffed by counsellors parading as members of the opposite sex, seeking to stamp their psychoses onto children, and make them morally and physically unrecognizable: "A children's summer camp in Spain has sparked a string of alarming safeguarding allegations. What should have been a fortnight of normal camp activities was, according to several attendees, more like a carnival of horrors. The camp, organized by nonprofit youth group *Sarrea Euskal Udalekua Elkartea,* was held from August 8th to 23rd in the village of Bernedo, and was hosted by a controversial trans activist who had previously boasted about wanting to 'indoctrinate' children. You have to ask what kind of parent would send their child to a 'transfeminist' camp in the first place. Well, it wasn't always this way. The camp was originally founded as a way to teach kids about Basque culture and language. According to the camp's website, its main aim is to 'help children live in Basque, to show that Basque is a useful tool for everyday life, and bring together children from all over the Basque territory so that they can take home from summer camps the richest possible view of Basque and Basque culture.' Only in recent years has the event been 'queered' and now focuses on promoting 'body positivity and acceptance of gender diversity.' The organization that runs the camp, *Sarrea Euskal Udalekua Elkartea,* is rife with trans activists. One board member and 'nonbinary' performer, 23 year old Aner Peritz Manterola, recently wrote for a Basque paper that he believes society forces 'cisheteronormativity' onto children. 'Just as we have learned that macho violence is answered with transfeminist violence,' he wrote, 'we also know that heterosexual education is answered with *transmaribollo* education.' This term *transmaribollo* literally translates to *fag-dyke*, but has been 'reclaimed' by trans, lesbian, and gay people in Spain in a similar way to 'queer.' Peritz continues: 'We want to faggotize your children (since we usually don't have children of our own) so that you don't heterosexualize them,' he wrote. 'And we also have teaching degrees.' It's hard to read this as anything other than a blatant threat. You might think that any youth organization, when confronted with the disturbing words of a board member, would respond with an apology and immediate safeguarding overhauls. Far from it, *Sarrea Euskal Udalekua Elkartea* earlier this month doubled down on its attempt to 'queer' children. It called the complaints the camp had received from parents 'transphobic' and even defended the decision to make kids shower together, describing this as 'an opportunity to normalize all bodies, break stigmas, and free ourselves from shame.' Apparently, 'bathrooms and showers are a tool to divide people according to a binary and gender logic,' which in turn 'causes situations of discomfort and discrimination.' An adult man deciding to wear a dress and calling himself Barbara is one thing. But remaking children's spaces around that belief is something else entirely. No grown up who sincerely believes that children can be trans should be allowed anywhere near minors. Especially not if they publicly boast about wanting to indoctrinate children into being queer. Children are not canvases for adult fantasies. We need to keep trans ideology as far away from childhood as physically possible." (*The Spanish Summer Camp Trying To 'Queer' Kids* by Lauren Smith). **Rape.** Officially described as promoting Basque language and culture, Camp Euskal Udalekuak sings gender ideologies and its poems of psychological perversion to its captive children. It seeks to make all children queer and eradicate heterosexual relations. It promotes transgenderism in the name of transfeminism. It seeks to force heterosexual children into gay and lesbian communities. It promotes gay and lesbian sex in the name of coming out. It rejects the existence of sex chromosomes and the doctrine of gender essentialism in the name of the arbitrary and boundless human will. It rejects the doctrine that heterosexual impulses naturally exist as pathological, brutalizing, stigmatizing and queerphobic. It rejects peaceful coexistence between homosexuals and heterosexuals, and advocates violence against heterosexuals. It rejects peaceful coexistence between normal individuals who accept their gender and psychotic transgenders who do not, and advocates violence against normal individuals. It proclaims that truth is subjective and perspectival in character, and the highest truths are those which proceed from trans and queer perspectives. It prohibits heterosexual relations and suppresses nonpsychotic, nontransgender individuals in the name of advancing progressive education and

creating safe spaces for all children's identities and bodies: "Disturbingly, it has since been revealed that a top director of the organization that runs the camp is a nonbinary, transactivist radical who had previously boasted of 'queering' children. Aner Paritz Manterola, 23, is a *bertsolari*, a traditional Basque performer who combines poetry and song. Having attended the same camps since age 13, Peritz credits the experience with introducing him to the art form, saying that becoming a *bertsolari* transformed him into a 'transfeminist.' Along with identifying as nonbinary, Peritz describes himself as a 'unicorn,' which within the Spanish queer community refers to having multiple open and polyamorous relationships. 'In the queer scene, we indoctrinate each other outside of heterosexuality, and that's a good thing,' he told *Pikara Magazine* in an past interview. In an essay written in February, Peritz confirmed that he wanted to turn children towards his ideology. In an essay entitled *Children And Gender Essentialism*, Peritz argued that 'this way of thinking about birth identities is pathologizing, stigmatizing, and queerphobic,' and that society forces 'cisheteronormativity' onto children via a system of brutality and socialization, not nature. 'Just as we have learned that macho violence is answered with transfeminist violence—and not with some general, neutral, assimilative peace—we also know that heterosexual education is answered with *transmaribollo education* (education from trans and queer perspectives),' Peritz wrote. 'Call it indoctrination. We want to carry out *transmaribollo indoctrination*, and we are ready to do it. It wasn't a joke; the echoes were right—we *do* want to make your children queer (we usually don't have children ourselves), so that you won't heterosexualize them as you did to us. You didn't completely succeed.' Peritz concluded. Amid growing backlash, Euskal Udalekuak released a statement earlier this month defending its camp counselors and educational methods. The organization characterized some of the parental outrage as 'transphobic,' asserting that the Bernedo program was 'educational' and founded on 'transfeminist values' aimed at 'creating safe spaces for all identities and bodies' among the children." (*'Queer' Spanish Summer Camp Forced Children To Shower With Adults While Under Investigation For Facilitating Past Child Sexual Assaults* by Anna Slatz). **Rape.** *In The Hall Of The Mountain King* was composed by Edvard Grieg in 1875 and was the final piece of his *Peer Gynt Suite Number 1*. An iconic two minutes of music in popular culture, it received its darkest reknown as a leitmotif of horror in Fritz Lang's 1931 mystery film *M*. *In The Hall Of The Mountain King* was whistled throughout the film by Hans Beckert, the evil protaganist who is a serial killer of children. A century after Hans Beckert's rampage in Berlin, the town of Bernedo in Spanish Basque County has become a scene of child killers wielding the daggers of gender ideology.

MONUMENT OF SHAME

Jackson Park is 223 hectare park on the shore of Lake Michigan and the South Side of Chicago. The largest park in the city, it has world historical significance. From May 1 to October 31, 1893, it hosted the World's Columbian Exposition, also known as the Chicago World's Fair, held to celebrate the four hundredth anniversary of Christopher Columbus's arrival in the New World in 1492. Defeating New York City, Washington DC and Saint Louis in the competition to host the fair, Chicago had its image burnished to a blaze and became a center of American arts, architecture and industrial progress. On August 16, 2021, on the site of the former World's Fair, ground was broken for a monster whose presence will live forever in the annals of architectural and political infamy. On this day, construction was started for a museum, library and forum to commemorate the presidency of Barack Obama, a center planned to open in 2026. On the site of a celebration of Columbus's voyage of discovery and Western scientific and industrial civilization, which welcomed 46 nations and 30 million visitors, is the construction of a building called the Barack Obama Presidential Center, which has disgraced the site of the former World's Fair, sanctified by the Classical architecture of reason, symmetry, balance and splendor, by the spectacle of a building as unpleasant and brutalist on the outside as it is morally dark on the inside**. The Outside.** Look at the Obama Presidential Center and see a building embody the quality of repulsiveness. See an angular,

lightless, windowless, monochrome monster blot out the flora of a world reknowned landscape. See a city beautified by its Classical architecture crushed and trampled by a totalitarian nightmare expressed in stone: "Far from feeling pride in the neighborhood's favorite son, locals are blasting the project, evem calling its design an 'eyesore.' 'It looks like this big piece of rock that just landed here out of nowhere in what used to be a really nice landscape of trees and flowers,' Ken Woodward, a lawyer and father of six who grew up in the area, told the *Daily Mail.* 'It's a monstrosity. It's over budget, it's taking way too long to finish and it's going to drive up prices and bring headaches and problems for everyone who lives here. It feels like a washing away of the neighborhood and culture that used to be here.' 'This is a monument to one man's ego. You know Obama had to approve it,' Steve Cortes, a long time Chicagoan and former advisor to President Trump, told the *Mail.* 'Look at the Reagan Library [in Simi Valley, California]. It's beautiful. This? There are almost no windows. What are they hiding? And this Brutalist cement look in a city known for its incredible architecture. Why?' " (*Chicago Residents Blast 'Monstrosity' Obama Presidential Center As Displacement Fears Grow* by Anna Young). **The Outside.** Look at the Obama Presidential Center and see a reign of terror obliterate a city of beauty. See a towering litter box and garbage bin made of granite and concrete. See the pillar and head of primitive prehistory. See a monolith of violence imprison the human spirit. See a lord and eye of human evil. See a seventy meter tall plant power cells of subversion. See a massive, featureless block reduce human existence to a wasteland: "Yes, we trash can. President Barack Obama's austere new presidential library is getting roasted with comparisons to the Death Star, garbage bins and other domineering monoliths in a hilarious social media thread sparked by Senator Ted Cruz. 'Locating the Death Star in Chicago was a bold move,' Cruz (R-Texas) wrote on X Tuesday while reposting a video of the latest work on Obama's Chicago presidential center. The facility, a slab of concrete and granite rising 225 feet above Chicago's Jackson Park, has faced ridicule from critics since its design was first unveiled nearly 10 years ago—and the peanut gallery poured on more jokes after Cruz's post. Some posted videos with *Star Wars* X wing fighters closing in on the library building. Others superimposed the Eye of Sauron from the *Lord of the Rings* movies on its roof, and others simply posted photos of Easter Island heads. Kitty litter boxes were also included in the comparisons, as were common trash bins, dumpsters, and ephemera from *Star Trek* and *A Hitchhiker's Guide to the Galaxy*. 'It's like someone built a Lego set without the instructions,' said another. Prisons, power plants and Jenga block towers were also frequent comparisons. One person simply posted the cover of the Who album *Who's Next*, where the band stands around a massive concrete pillar in a barren wasteland." (*Obama's Dour New Presidential Center Is Getting Savaged On Social Media: 'Death Star In Chicago'* by Alex Oliveira). **The Inside.** The Obama Presidential Library will contain digital files from Obama's presidency and enable access to eight years of statist tyranny which accelerated the nation's decline by a quantum leap. Look at the files and see health care proclaimed to be a human right paid for by wealth plundered by the state. See statism justified in the name of providing health care security for all. In the name of helping America's workers, families and small businesses. In the name of allowing people to realize their dreams of success. In the name of advancing government of the people, by the people and for the people. In the name of reining in insurance companies and helping ordinary citizens. In the name of keeping health insurance premiums affordable for all. In the name of enabling employers to insure employees and not risk bankruptcy in doing so. In the name of common sense. In the name of rendering social justice to the great American masses: "Good evening, everybody. Tonight, after nearly 100 years of talk and frustration, after decades of trying, and a year of sustained effort and debate, the United States Congress finally declared that America's workers and America's families and America's small businesses deserve the security of knowing that here, in this country, neither illness nor accident should endanger the dreams they've worked a lifetime to achieve. Tonight, at a time when the pundits said it was no longer possible, we rose above the weight of our politics. We pushed back on the undue influence of special interests. We didn't give in to mistrust or to cynicism or to fear.

Instead, we proved that we are still a people capable of doing big things and tackling our biggest challenges. We proved that this government--a government of the people and by the people--still works for the people. today's vote answers the prayers of every American who has hoped deeply for something to be done about a health care system that works for insurance companies, but not for ordinary people. For most Americans, this debate has never been about abstractions, the fight between right and left, Republican and Democrat --it's always been about something far more personal. It's about every American who knows the shock of opening an envelope to see that their premiums just shot up again when times are already tough enough. It's about every parent who knows the desperation of trying to cover a child with a chronic illness only to be told *no* again and again and again. It's about every small business owner forced to choose between insuring employees and staying open for business. They are why we committed ourselves to this cause. Tonight's vote is not a victory for any one party--it's a victory for them. It's a victory for the American people. And it's a victory for common sense. Now, it probably goes without saying that tonight's vote will give rise to a frenzy of instant analysis. There will be tallies of Washington winners and losers, predictions about what it means for Democrats and Republicans, for my poll numbers, for my administration. But long after the debate fades away and the prognostication fades away and the dust settles, what will remain standing is not the government run system some feared, or the status quo that serves the interests of the insurance industry, but a health care system that incorporates ideas from both parties --a system that works better for the American people." (*Address to the Nation on HOR Health Care Bill Passage* by Barack Obama, March 21, 2010). **The Inside.** Look at the Obama presidential files and see the proclamation that individual rights must be sacrificed in the name of the greater good. In the name of a common purpose. In the name of securing the right to health insurance for all the people. In the name of advancing democracy. In the name of the innate decency of the American people. In the name of advancing social solidarity. Because individuals do not exist and we are all mystically one; we are all manifestations of a single, mystical social organism; and we all mystically rise and fall together: "What a radical idea. A great gift that our Founders gave to us: The freedom to chase our individual dreams through our sweat and toil and imagination, and the imperative to strive together, as well, to achieve a common good, a greater good. If I had told you eight years ago that we would secure the right to health insurance for another 20 million of our fellow citizens, you might have said our sights were set a little too high. We have what we need to do so. We have everything we need to meet those challenges. After all, we remain the wealthiest, most powerful, and most respected nation on Earth. Our youth, our drive, our diversity and openness, our boundless capacity for risk and reinvention means that the future should be ours. But that potential will only be realized if our democracy works. Only if our politics better reflects the decency of our people. Only if all of us, regardless of party affiliation or particular interests, help restore the sense of common purpose that we so badly need right now. That's what I want to focus on tonight: The state of our democracy. Understand, democracy does not require uniformity. Our founders argued. They quarreled. Eventually they compromised. They expected us to do the same. But they knew that democracy does require a basic sense of solidarity--the idea that for all our outward differences, we're all in this together; that we rise or fall as one." (*Remarks by The President In Farewell Address* by Barack Obama, January 10, 2017). **Inside-Outside.** He has a grotesque face and body. The horns of a goat and ram, the fur and ears of a goat, and the nose and canine teeth of a pig. He is physically ugly and morally ugly. He is the Devil, and his nightmare appearance is matched by the evil which resides within. Evil on the outside, evil on the inside, and impossible to remove from the world: such is the Devil. This personification of evil is a growing presence throughout the world in the late modern age. Its growth is created by the mass abandonment of reason and freedom in favor of religion and slavery, and as a mass popular phenomenon, it cannot be reversed. This abandonment has produced the spread of Marxism throughout the West. On the South Side of Chicago in Jackson Park, at the site of the former Chicago World's Fair, two architectural firms, Tod Williams Billie Tsien Architects of New York

and Interactive Design Architects of Chicago, have created a devil in stone to house the digital files of Marxist evil personified. Its name is Barack Obama.

REVISIONISTS HARD AT WORK

Historical revisionism is the fabrication of history to conform to a political or ideological line. It is historical negationism and denialism, and is a form of pseudoscholarship dictated by religion. Rejecting the Classical and Enlightenment ideas of truth and objectivity, late Western thinkers advocate historical revisionism. Listen to the philosopher Karl Popper proclaim the primacy of subjective interests and points of view: "Each generation has its own troubles and problems, and, therefore, its own interests and its own point of view." (*That Noble Dream: The Objectivity Question And The American Historical Profession* by Peter Novick, quoting Popper). Listen to the historian James McPherson proclaim that there are no absolute facts or truths about reality or the past, and truth and reality are created by dialogues, interpretations, perspectives and the passage of time: "The fourteen thousand members of this association [the American Historical Association], however, know that revision is the lifeblood of historical scholarship. History is a continuing dialogue between the present and the past. Interpretations of the past are subject to change in response to new evidence, new questions asked of the evidence, new perspectives gained by the passage of time. There is no single, eternal and immutable truth about past events and their meaning. The unending quest of historians for understanding the past–that is, *revisionism*–is what makes history vital and meaningful." (*Revisionist Historians* by James McPherson). Historical revisionism is as old as religion, and religionists have been falsifying history since time immemorial. Exalting the ideas of truth and objectivity personified by its preeminent god Apollo, Classical Culture never hosted historical revisionism, and in European history this practice has been confined to the West. In the history of the West, there have been two main sources of historical revisionism, reflecting the two main religions shaping the culture. These religions are Christianity and Marxism, the latter a product of the former, and to listen to their revisionism is to listen to the enemies of civilization on their mission of mental and physical destruction. **Christianity.** Common sense perceives a series of facts and truths about reality which no sane person can deny. It perceives that everything which exists outside the mind exists in a place and is somewhere, and is therefore something physical. It perceives that an infinite supernatural or nonphysical existence is a contradiction in terms, because it is an existence devoid of place, an existence which is everywhere at one and the same time, and is thus nowhere. It perceives that everything which exists is something and is as it is, that A is A, and cannot be anything else than what it is, and thus no power or will can change natural facts or laws, and can make water freeze at 100 degrees Celsius, or make gold conduct electricity, or make a triangle have four sides. It perceives that the universe is everything, and thus had no beginning and will have no end, and is infinite in extent. It perceives that a finite universe is a contradiction in terms, because there is always something somewhere, there is no nothing anywhere, there is always a *before* time and an *after* time, and there is therefore no end or beginning of time or existence. Now listen to Christianity proclaim that there is a supernatural God who is all powerful. It can create existence out of nothingness by fiat. All it has to do is to speak and things come into existence. It created light, separated the light from darkness, and created time: "Then God said, 'Let there be light,' and there was light. And God saw that the light was good, and God separated the light from the darkness. God called the light Day, and called the darkness Night. And there was evening and there was morning, the first day." (*Genesis*, 1:3-5). God's fantastic creation of existence took six days. On day one, it created light. On day two, it created the sea and sky. On day three, it created the land and plants. On day four, it created the stars and planets. On day five, it created the birds and fish. On day six, it created land animals, including humans. Listen to the voices of the Enlightenment condemn Christianity as an insane human construct and weapon of fear and control: "I do not believe in the creed professed by the Jewish

Church, by the Roman Church, by the Greek Church, by the Turkish [Islamic] Church, by the Protestant Church, nor by any church that I know of. My own mind is my own church. All national institutions of churches, whether Jewish, Christian or Turkish [Islamic], appear to me no other than human inventions, set up to terrify and enslave mankind, and monopolize power and profit." (*The Age Of Reason* by Thomas Paine). **Marxism.** In the nineteenth century, a religion emerged which replicated Christianity, changing only the name, flag and location of God. Its God was located on earth and called Society, and was as all powerful and supernatural as the Christian God in Heaven. It thus appealed to the masses as Christianity did, and would spread across the West, gather adherents by the billions, become a synonym for the Western cultural and political establishment, and become the number one religion of the late modern age. Listen to Christianity and the neoChristian religion founded by Karl Marx and hear them march under the same banners of faith, force, tyranny and enslavement. Hear them fill the waters of a collectivist swampland and advocate a totalitiarian society which has eliminated all traces of individual freedom. Hear them do this in the name of helping the disadvantaged. In the name of fighting political corruption and the abuse of power. In the name of advancing brotherhood and achieving a better, fraternal future. In the name of stopping wars and healing human divisions. In the name of smashing the chains of capitalist law, finance and markets. In the name of advancing human equality. In the name of achieving the highest form of freedom, justice and dignity, based on human sacrifice and human enslavement. In the name of acheiving God's dreams for humanity. In the name of helping the weak, the poor, the unemployed, the homeless and the migrants. In the name of helping all those exploited and oppressed by the capitalist lash of wage slavery. In the name of helping all those marginalized and discarded by the capitalist throwaway culture. In the name of achieving solidarity. In the name of achieving a humane society. In the name of protecting the earth's ecology and every organism on the planet. In the name of acheiving the highest form of morality which is social morality, based on denying the individual's right to exist, live and work for himself: "Pope Francis encouraged the Marxist-Christian dialogue group Dialop to work together for the disadvantaged and against corruption and abuse of power. Christians as well as socialists, Marxists and communists should build a 'better, fraternal future' for a world divided by wars and polarization, said Francis at a reception in the Vatican on Wednesday. He said it was important to overcome rigid, divisive approaches, conduct disputes with an open heart and listen to one another without excluding anyone on political, social or religious grounds. 'Do not allow finance and the market to dictate the law,' the Pope urged his guests. They should 'not stop dreaming of a better world,' in which ideals such as freedom, equality, dignity and fraternity are upheld; these ideals are a 'mirror of God's dream' for humanity. The Pope wished for 'the courage to step outside the box' as well as an openness in dialogue for 'new paths.' Full attention must be paid to the weak: the poor, the unemployed, the homeless, migrants, the exploited and all those who are marginalized by a throwaway culture. Dealing with them is the measure of a civilization. The great dictatorships such as national socialism had discarded and even killed precisely these groups, Francis recalled. In order to achieve solidarity and justice, systems of inequality must be purified in their intentions, 'also through radical changes of perspective in the distribution of challenges and resources between people and peoples,' Pope Francis demanded. His creativity must be put at the service of a more humane society. The Dialop dialogue platform is dedicated to dialogue between Christians and socialists or Marxists. Together with educational institutions, the members work on social ethics and ecology, combining Marxist ideas and Catholic social teaching. The initial impetus for this was provided by a meeting between Pope Francis and the future Greek Prime Minister Alexis Tsipras in 2014. During the audience, the platform presented its activities of the past ten years to the Pope, some of which were also supported by the Vatican's cultural and educational authorities. It was a 'beautiful program,' praised Francis. Among the 15 participants were Luisa Sello from the Focolare Movement in Vienna and Berlin based Cornelia Hildebrandt, who is also Co-President of the "Transform Europe" network. (KNA)'." (*Pope: Marxists And Christians Have A Common Mission*,

english.katholisch.de). **Marxism.** Look at the brother worlds of Christianity and Marxism and see them both exalt religious faith above empirical science. See them both advocate cruelty, one under the sign of the cross and the other under the sign of the hammer and sickle. See them both declare holy war on the life of rational flourishing and self perfection. See them both establish inquisitions for the protection of the faith and the suppression of heresy. See them both falsify history in accordance with the latest dictates of their ecclesiastical authorities. See them both advocate a government, one under the flag of Jesus's teachings and the other the flag of the former Soviet Union, dedicated to the destruction of the individual's right to exist, work and live for himself: "It is customary among Christian apologists to regard communism as something very different from Christianity and to contrast its evils with the supposed blessings enjoyed by Christian nations. This seems to me a profound mistake. The evils of communism are the same as those that existed in Christianity during the Ages of Faith. Its cruelties are of the same sort, and the damage that it does to the intellectual and moral life of the nations it masters is of the same sort as that which was done by the Inquisitors whenever they prevailed. The communists falsify history, and the Church did the same until the Renaissance. The whole contention that Christianity has had an elevating moral influence can only be maintained by wholesale ignoring or falsification of the historical evidence. The habitual answer is that the Christians who did things which we deplore were not true to the teachings of Christ. One might of course equally well argue that the Soviet government does not consist of true Marxists." (*Can Religion Cure Our Troubles?* by Bertrand Russell). **Christianity and Marxism.** Adherents of the two largest belief systems in the West are on a crusade with their sacred books in hand. Christians preach with their *Holy Bible* and Marxists preach with their *Communist Manifesto.* Their adherents numbers in the billions and reach across the world. Their books are perennial bestsellers and are the biggest selling books of all time. They both preach a creed of faith and force, the rejection of reason and the rejection of individual rights, and together they have killed more people, violated more laws, destroyed more nations, peddled more myths, and falsified more histories with their propaganda campaigns, than any other groups in history. The Christian *Holy Bible* has remained unchanged over the centuries, while the *Communist Manifesto* has appeared in second and third editions since the dawn of the twentieth century. The second edition contained additional chapters on race and gender politics, while the third edition contains additional chapters on Islamic politics, environmental politics, gay and lesbian politics, transgender politics and migrant politics. This final edition is a monster book promoting an expansive underworld of antiClassical and antiEnlightenment mysticism and tribalism. These books from the Dark Ages have crushed civilization.

RED FRONT MARKED *SOCIAL AND EMOTIONAL LEARNING*

After conducting a ten year seige of Troy accomplishing nothing, Odysseus, the leader of the Greeks, had an idea. His army constructed a wooden horse and hid soldiers inside. The army placed the horse at the gates of Troy and pretended to sail away forever. Beholding the horse, the Trojans pulled it into their city and paraded it as a victory trophy. That night, the Greeks inside the horse left it and opened the gates of the city to let in the rest of the army which had secretly sailed back. After the full army entered the city, it defeated the Trojans and ended the Trojan War. Trojan Horse. The term has entered the English language to refer to a stratagem causing a target to invite an enemy into its territory so that the enemy can subvert the target from within. In the 1960s, at the Child Study Center at the Yale University School of Medicine, a Trojan Horse was constructed which would enter schools across America in the late twentieth century, and commence a process of subversion which has continued up to the present day. The Trojan Horse is called Social and Emotional Learning, and it is an educational method applied to school children whose ostensible aims are utilized to bring in far left ideas and indoctrinate students. Look at the Trojan Horse and look at the reality, and see a deception by appearance and malevolent intent which has poisoned a

generation of students. **Trojan Horse.** Founded in Kalamazoo, Michigan in 1994, the Collaborative for Academic, Social, and Emotional Learning defines Social and Emotional Learning as a method for promoting rational identities, skills, attitudes, emotions, goals, relationships and decisions: "We define social and emotional learning (SEL) as an integral part of education and human development. SEL is the process through which all young people and adults acquire and apply the knowledge, skills, and attitudes to develop healthy identities, manage emotions and achieve personal and collective goals, feel and show empathy for others, establish and maintain supportive relationships, and make responsible and caring decisions." (casel.org). **The Reality.** Now look at the reality of the method and see the promotion of identities, skills, attitudes, emotions, goals, relationships and decisions, not based on reason but based on the number religion of our time, which has captured the Western cultural and political establishment as it has galvanized the moral sewers of the world. Look at the reality and see a method for the indoctrination of students in all the original and latter day tenets of Marxism. See the promotion of social justice, tribal justice, gender, race and class based justice, in place of natural, liberal, individual justice. See the promotion of far left political campaigning. See a politicized educational system training street hoodlums to brawl under the banners of gender, race and class ideologies. See the promotion of preferential treatment on the basis of race and gender, and discrimination against white males, in the name of achieving equity. See the promotion of opposition to capitalism in the name of fighting injustice. See the promotion of radical political agendas and student activism to storm the ramparts of liberal democratic order. See the rejection of individualism, the rejection of individual identities and individual rights, in favor of group identities and group rights, such as black rights. See the promotion of the politics of race, ethnicity and class in the name of creating an inclusive classroom. See the promotion of communist revolution in the name of contributing to positive community change. See the promotion of communist violence in the name progressive action civics and doing something positive for the oppressed: "The Civics Alliance has released a new policy brief on Social and Emotional Learning. Readers of *Resolute* are no doubt familiar with this educational framework, which is ubiquitous throughout American schools. Curriculum developers often tout a wide assortment of teaching material, from social studies lessons to in class games, as beneficial for students' social and emotional development. Yet the framework is vague and open to ideological drift. There's increasing evidence of this ideological drift across the country, as lessons designed for social and emotional learning have begun to focus on social justice activism. Social and Emotional Learning (SEL) is everywhere. Thousands of schools across the country and the world have implemented SEL programming. All 50 states have adopted at least preschool SEL standards. But SEL's ambiguous definition invites 'concept creep,' and the concept has come to justify ever more ideological activities. Today, many schools and activists offer a politicized education through SEL programming, which calls for permissive disciplinary practices, a politically charged notion of equity, and student activism. In the Civics Alliance's latest policy brief, I explain how. 'Schools and school districts increasingly emphasize a new theme in their SEL programming: equity. [The Collaborative for Academic, Social, and Emotional Learning] leads the charge. CASEL now touts the connections between SEL and equity.' his theme—'SEL as a lever for equity'—has been embraced by many school districts, and it has been accompanied by a new model: 'Transformative SEL.' 'Transformative SEL contains two elements. First, it focuses on examining injustice and on changing systems with 'justice oriented civic engagement.' This focus reorients SEL toward teaching a radical political agenda and promoting student activism. Second, it explicitly emphasizes group identities, above all race. Community building exercises, a hallmark of the SEL 3 Signature Practices, have been racialized: 'although these programs and practices can create more inclusive classroom and school settings, it is necessary to explicitly consider issues of race/ethnicity and class to advance an equity focused SEL agenda.' ' What does that look like in practice? While there's no end to the ways schools can try to achieve SEL goals, one tool stands out as particularly relevant to the Civics Alliance: Action Civics. 'The Boston Public Schools Action Guide recommends action

civics as 'one opportunity for youth to contribute to positive community change'—and provides a link to the website of the action civics nonprofit Generation Citizen. Facing History and Ourselves also lists action civics as one of its key practices. Its From Reflection to Action Toolkit offers a template for a group social action project. After teachers give 'an overview of the social action project at the start of the school year,' students are encouraged to reflect on and gather 'information about issues—as well as types of and tools for activism.' The project follows the basic action civics framework: Identify a problem, Come up with a solution, Identify action tools, DO SOMETHING.' " (*The Real Meaning of Social and Emotional Learning* by John Sailor). **The Reality.** Look at the reality of the Social and Emotional Learning method and see Marxist groups manipulate children and place their families under surveillance in the name of addressing childhood psychological traumas. See the promotion of gender, race and class politics in the name of addressing the social and emotional development needs of children. See Marxist programming and conditioning of children conducted in the name of fighting racism and affirming positive emotions. See the promotion of far left political ideologies in the name of promoting positive curricular and extracurricular activities. See the promotion of Marxism in the name of improving the school climate, understanding and managing emotions, setting and achieving positive goals, feeling and showing empathy for others, establishing and maintaining positive relationships, and making responsible decisions. See the promotion of gender, race and class communism in the name of achieving diversity, equity, participation and human rights. See the promotion of equal wealth and property for all. See the promotion of surgical and chemical mutilation of children in the name of the chromosome denying religion of transgender rights. See the rejection of heterosexuality and traditional families in the name of protecting gay and lesbian rights. See the control over classrooms and curriculums by radical organizations rejecting reason, science, empiricism and the Enlightenment, and dedicated to the destruction of liberal civilization: "State Senator Shane Jett, R-Shawnee has filed Senate Bill 1442, which would prohibit public school districts or charter schools from using federal, state or private funds to promote, purchase or utilize social emotional learning (SEL) concepts. The Oklahoma State Department of Education defines SEL as, 'the process through which children and adults understand and manage emotions, set and achieve positive goals, feel and show empathy for others, establish and maintain positive relationships, and make responsible decisions. SEL and Prevention are proactive approaches for ALL students that are designed to promote positive behavior and school climate.' Senator Jett stated that SEL is pushed by, 'leftist groups,' in an effort to, 'psychologically manipulate children and surveil Oklahoma families under the guise of addressing trauma.' 'The SEL platform sounds admirable, with goals of addressing the social and emotional development needs of children, but the motives of these programs are actually much more sinister,' said Senator Jett. 'SEL's actual objective is to condition children to prescribed behaviors and 'antiracist' training, social justice posturing and the sexualization of minors, all under the guise of affirming feelings. SEL seeks to find 'traumas' in our children as a pretext to push antifamily, antilogic and antireason philosophies and leftist political ideologies.' 'SEL apologists have been instituting scare tactics and social media campaigns since I filed this measure, spreading falsehoods about extracurricular activities the would be illegal and other lies to incite anger among teachers and parents,' said Senator Jett. 'This measure has nothing to do with stripping our kids' ability to participate in student organizations, or our teachers' ability to be there for their students. It is strictly about ensuring radical organizations stay out of our classrooms and curriculum.' " (*Senator Proposes Bill That Would Prohibit Social Emotional Learning In Oklahoma Schools*, KFOR, February 15, 2022). **The Reality.** For over a half a century, soldiers of revolution have been pouring out of a Trojan Horse called Social and Emotional Learning. Carrying hammers marked *Marxist pedagogy, Marxist psychotherapy*, *Marxist social work*, and *Marxist gender, race and class theories critical of the Enlightenment*, they have pummelled the minds of students to a pulp and rendered schools unrecognizable.

AMERICA TODAY: TOTALITARIANS LEFT AND RIGHT

Your Lot In A Totalitarian State. Thus speaks a United States government poster from World War II depicting sham elections staged in three totalitarian states, represented in the poster by three flags: the flags of the Soviet Union, National Socialist Germany and the Empire of Japan. Totalitarianism. A form of government prohibiting all forms of opposition and controlling the public and private spheres of society. A totalitarian government controls all aspects of human life, from the economy to education to the arts and sciences to private behavior, and has stamped out every last trace of human freedom. In the twenty first century, the nation which led the struggle of the free world against the Axis Powers during World War II has commenced a descent into totalitarian rule which has disgraced its founding documents and the land on which its soldiers died for the cause of freedom. In the twenty first century, by democratic acclaim and landslide votes of the people, after one hundred and twenty five years of voting in support, the United States of America is in free fall into the netherworlds of the former Soviet Union and Third Reich, and is becoming unrecognizable. In the twenty first century, America is in the grip of two movements whose popular attacks on the Enlightenment represent the end stage of Western civilization. These two movements represent two forms of mysticism and are bringing back the Dark Ages from which the West was freed during the Renaissance. One movement represents a mysticism of Society on earth and is called Marxism, while the other represents a mysticism of God in heaven and is called Christianity. Marxism was born in the nineteenth century, but the worship of collectivism by its prophet Karl Marx first became a mass movement with political power in the twentieth century, and has been expanding in the form of a gender, race and class monster since the mid twentieth century. This monster has taken over universities, and dominates the political and cultural establishment, and the channels of mass communication. Christianity has seen a resurgence in America over the past one hundred years, and in the form of the Christian Right, led by Roman Catholics and Evangelical Protestants, has taken over the conservative movement. Under the presidency of Ronald Reagan, it took over the Republican Party, and has been growing in influence across the nation ever since. It seeks to impose its dogmas on society and to establish school prayers, outlaw euthanasia, outlaw abortion, outlaw embryonic stem cell research, and outlaw the teaching of evolution in public schools. In the twenty first century, these movements have garnered victory trophies in the United States Supreme Court in the form of two landmark court rulings which have sanctioned an expansion of government power without precedent in the nation's history. Look at these two rulings and see two totalitarian nails driven into the coffin of America. ***California versus Texas.*** On June 17, 2021, the United States Supreme Court ruled that the unconstitutional individual mandate to obtain health insurance, enacted under the Affordable Care Act, can nonetheless remain on the books because the socalled tax, in fact a penalty for noncompliance and not a tax, was reduced to zero in the 2017 *Tax Cuts and Jobs Act*. The court ruled that the absence of any tax burden imposed deprives all those who seek to challenge the unconstitutional mandate of all standing to sue. The court's ruling in *California versus Texas* was its latest attempt to protect a popular social program from being struck down. In its first attempt, the court ruled that A is not A, that the penalty imposed under the Affordable Care Act is not in fact a penalty but is a tax, and as a tax, it falls within Congress's taxing power. In its second attempt, the court ruled that even though the Affordable Care Act only allows states to establish subsidized insurance markets, the federal government can also do so if a state does not, and the court thus ruled that A is not A, and that the federal government is not the federal government but is an individual state. In its third attempt, the court ruled that "Plaintiffs do not have standing to challenge [the Affordable Care Act's] minimum essential coverage provision because they have not shown a past or future injury fairly traceable to defendants' conduct enforcing the specific statutory provision they attack as unconstitutional." (Majority opinion by Stephen Breyer). The court ruled that "The Constitution gives federal courts the power to adjudicate only genuine 'Cases' and 'Controversies.' (Article III, Section 2). To have standing, a plaintiff must 'allege personal injury

fairly traceable to the defendant's allegedly unlawful conduct and likely to be redressed by the requested relief.' *DaimlerChrysler Corp. v. Cuno.* No plaintiff has shown such an injury 'fairly traceable' to the 'allegedly unlawful conduct' challenged here." (Majority opinion by Stephen Breyer). The court bases its argument on a prior court ruling and not on the constitution itself. The simple question of whether a law is constitutional or not is a "case" or "controversy" in and of itself, irrespective of any actual injuries suffered or not under the law. If it is not a bona fide "case" or "controversy," there is then the spectacle of an unconstitutional law allowed to stand and given immunity from court nullification. An illegal command is an illegal command, and is not any less illegal if deprived of a means of enforcement, and if allowed to stand would open the door to a universe of illegal commands allowed to crowd and overwhelm statute books. On June 17, 2021, the United States Supreme Court allowed an unprecedented totalitarian command that an individual obtain health insurance to continue to command the people: "Today's decision is the third installment in our epic Affordable Care Act trilogy, and it follows the same pattern as installments one and two. In all three episodes, with the Affordable Care Act facing a serious threat, the Court has pulled off an improbable rescue. In the opening installment, *National Federation of Independent Business v. Sebelius*, the Court saved the socalled individual mandate, the same critical provision at issue in today's suit. At that time, the individual mandate imposed a penalty on most Americans who refused to purchase health insurance or enroll in Medicaid, and it was widely thought that without the mandate much of the Act—and perhaps even the whole scheme—would collapse. The Government's principal defense of the mandate was that it represented a lawful exercise of Congress's power to regulate interstate commerce, but the Court rejected that unprecedented argument. That might have foretold doom, but then, in a stunning turn of events, the threat to the ACA was defused when the penalty for failing to comply with the mandate was found to be a tax and thus to be justified as an exercise of Congress's taxing power. By a vote of 5 to 4, the individual mandate—and with it the rest of the ACA—lived on. In the next installment, *King v. Burwell*, the Court carried out an equally impressive rescue. One of the Act's key provisions provided subsidies to persons purchasing insurance through exchanges established by a 'State.' When many States refused to establish such exchanges, the Federal Government did so instead. Once again, some feared that the Act was in mortal danger, but the Court came to the rescue by finding that the Federal Government is a State. Now, in the trilogy's third episode, the Court is presented with the daunting problem of a 'tax' that does not tax. Can the taxing power, which saved the day in the first episode, sustain such a curious creature? In 2017, Congress reduced the 'tax' imposed on Americans who failed to abide by the individual mandate to $0. With that move, the slender reed that supported the decision in *NFIB* was seemingly cut down, but once again the Court has found a way to protect the ACA. Instead of defending the constitutionality of the individual mandate, the Court simply ducks the issue and holds that none of the Act's challengers is entitled to sue." (Dissenting opinion by Samuel Alito). ***Dobbs versus Jackson Women's Health Organization.*** On June 22, 2022, the United States Supreme Court ruled that the Fourteenth Amendent to the Constitution does not exist. The amendment states that "All persons born or naturalized in the United States, and subject to the jurisdiction thereof, are citizens of the United States and of the State wherein they reside. No State shall make or enforce any law which shall abridge the privileges or immunities of citizens of the United States; nor shall any State deprive any person of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law; nor deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws." The court ruled that "The Constitution does not confer a right to abortion; *Roe* and *Casey* are overruled; and the authority to regulate abortion is returned to the people and their elected representatives." (Majority opinion by Samuel Alito). The court ruled that states have a right to declare that A is not A, that an unborn organism appearing at the moment of conception is equal to a born citizen with rights protectable under the law. Under the Fourteenth Amendent to the constitution, for a living organism to possess constitutional rights, it must be both a person and be born. An unborn potential person possesses no constitutional rights, and an unborn actual person

possesses no constitutional rights. On June 22, 2022, the United States Supreme Court became the supreme legal voice of Christian dogma. It gave a totalitarian license to the states to invade women's bodies and force them to give birth, and rendered null and void the Fourteenth Amendment's definition of those possessing constitutional rights as born persons, and the First Amendment's separation between church and state: "The *Dobbs* decision violates the Establishment Clause of the First Amendment, challenging the separation between church and state and endorsing legislation driven by religious motives. The Establishment Clause protects citizens from any government action endorsing or establishing religion. With the historical association of opposition to abortion with Christian identity, the *Dobbs* decision is in direct violation of the Establishment Clause." (*The Dobbs Decision: The Unraveling Of Christian Theocracy In United States Legislation* by Breeha Azhar Shah). **The Marxist Left and the Christian Right.** In the twenty first century, America is a shadow of its former self. This shining product of the Enlightenment, whose Declaration of Independence and battle cries for freedom in 1776 were shots heard round the world, has been reduced to a field of conquest by two mass movements fighting for total power. Two landmark Supreme Court decisions are symbols of their ascendency over the constitution. In ***California versus Texas***, Marxist totalitarians have been given a constitutional green light to invade people's personal lives and command them to buy a product, health insurance. In *Dobbs versus Jackson Women's Health Organization*, Christian totalitarians have been given a constitutional green light to invade people's personal lives and force pregnant women to give birth. In the twenty first century, two totalitarian movements, the mystics of Society and the mystics of God, fight over the dead body of civilization.

TRUMP FIGHTS RED MANDATES

The squirrels were in hiding. One only he saw—a sleek gray fellow, flattened against a gray dead limb so that he seemed a part of it, a woody excrescence upon the wood itself. (*The Call of the Wild* by Jack London). Excresence. An outgrowth on a body resulting from a disease or abnormality. Doctors remove excrescences by means of topical medication or surgical excision, the latter utilized in serious cases in which the escrescence is a cyst or a tumor. In the America in the twenty first century, a far left political establishment has been voted into power, the culmination of a one hundred and twenty five year trend of welfare regulatory control reaching back to the Theodore Roosevelt administration and accelerating under the later New Deal, and has enacted commands on personal behavior which have crossed lines never before crossed, and commenced a full fledged totalitarian invasion of the nation. These commands are excresences produced by a cancerous development commencing at the dawn of the twentieth century, and before they were deprived of enforcement or outright eliminated, they served as twenty first century nails driven into the coffin of American freedom. These commands were disfigurirng tumors on the American body politic, tumors disfiguring an already disfigured society, and they illustrated the relentless advance of Marxist ideas once unleashed by the majority. In the first and second terms of Donald Trump's presidency, these excresences were excised and the nation was returned to a semblance of freedom. Only a semblance, an apparent form, an outward appearance, beneath which the Marxist reality stands fixed and unrepealable. This achievement of Trump, this partial roll back of Marxism, has inducted him into the ranks of libertarian political reformers in an age of statism, ranks vanishing by the hour amidst an onslaught by the majority, and has made him a hero in the eyes of Americans who seek to return the nation to its roots in the Enlightenment. **The Health Insurance Mandate.** In Trump's first term, he eliminated the penalty attached to the mandate to obtain health insurance under the Affordable Care Act. Signed by Barack Obama in 2010, the ACA required individuals to obtain health insurance under the penalty of a fine. This was the first time in American history that the federal government had enacted a requirement on people to purchase a product. Fearful that striking down the mandate would jeopardize a popular social program, the United States Supreme

Court found ways to save a mandate which was unconstitutional. It was unconstitutional because Congress has no power under the Interstate Commerce Clause of the Constitution to fine people for not obtaining a product. The ICC allows Congress to regulate interstate commerce and not to create interstate commerce by mandating product purchases. Neither does Congress have the power to mandate product purchases under the Taxing and Spending Clause of the Constitution, because the ACA imposed a penalty and not a tax. On June 17, 2021, the United States Supreme Court allowed an unprecedented totalitarian command that an individual obtain health insurance, a command without constitutional basis of any kind, to remain on the books and continue to command the people. In its ruling, the Court proclaimed that A is not A, and that the ACA mandate penalty is not in fact a penalty but is a tax. The Court proclaimed that even when the socalled tax has been reduced to zero, so that the Taxing and Spending Clause is no longer the legal basis for the mandate, the mandate still cannot be challenged because there is no injury suffered by noncompliance, and there is therefore no standing to challenge the mandate. The court ruled that Article Three of the Constitution stipulates that plaintiffs challenging laws they judge to be unconstitutional must show injury suffered: "The Constitution gives federal courts the power to adjudicate only genuine 'Cases' and 'Controversies.' (Article III, Section 2). To have standing, a plaintiff must 'allege personal injury fairly traceable to the defendant's allegedly unlawful conduct and likely to be redressed by the requested relief.' *DaimlerChrysler Corp. v. Cuno.* No plaintiff has shown such an injury 'fairly traceable' to the 'allegedly unlawful conduct' challenged here." (*California versus Texas*, June 17, 2021. Majority opinion by Stephen Breyer). The court based its argument on a prior court ruling, *DaimlerChrysler Corp. versus Cuno*, and not on the constitution itself. The simple question of whether a law is constitutional or not is a "case" or "controversy" in and of itself, irrespective of any actual injuries suffered or not under the law. If it is not a bona fide "case" or "controversy," there is then the spectacle of an unconstitutional law allowed to stand and given immunity from court nullification. An illegal command is an illegal command, and is not any less illegal if deprived of means of enforcement, and if allowed to stand, it would open the door to a universe of illegal commands allowed to crowd and overwhelm statute books. The court deployed stratagem and after stratagem, calling a penalty a tax, calling the federal government an individual state like New York or Kansas so that it could set up state health insurance exchanges, and forelosing any possibility of challenging the health insurance mandate on grounds of lack of standing, in its effort to save a popular offering of American statism: "Today's decision is the third installment in our epic Affordable Care Act trilogy, and it follows the same pattern as installments one and two. In all three episodes, with the Affordable Care Act facing a serious threat, the Court has pulled off an improbable rescue. In the opening installment, *National Federation of Independent Business v. Sebelius*, the Court saved the socalled individual mandate, the same critical provision at issue in today's suit. At that time, the individual mandate imposed a penalty on most Americans who refused to purchase health insurance or enroll in Medicaid, and it was widely thought that without the mandate much of the Act—and perhaps even the whole scheme—would collapse. The Government's principal defense of the mandate was that it represented a lawful exercise of Congress's power to regulate interstate commerce, but the Court rejected that unprecedented argument. That might have foretold doom, but then, in a stunning turn of events, the threat to the ACA was defused when the penalty for failing to comply with the mandate was found to be a tax and thus to be justified as an exercise of Congress's taxing power. By a vote of 5 to 4, the individual mandate—and with it the rest of the ACA—lived on. In the next installment, *King v. Burwell*, the Court carried out an equally impressive rescue. One of the Act's key provisions provided subsidies to persons purchasing insurance through exchanges established by a 'State.' When many States refused to establish such exchanges, the Federal Government did so instead. Once again, some feared that the Act was in mortal danger, but the Court came to the rescue by finding that the Federal Government is a State. Now, in the trilogy's third episode, the Court is presented with the daunting problem of a 'tax' that does not tax. Can the taxing power, which saved the day in the first

episode, sustain such a curious creature? In 2017, Congress reduced the 'tax' imposed on Americans who failed to abide by the individual mandate to $0. With that move, the slender reed that supported the decision in *NFIB* was seemingly cut down, but once again the Court has found a way to protect the ACA. Instead of defending the constitutionality of the individual mandate, the Court simply ducks the issue and holds that none of the Act's challengers is entitled to sue." (*California versus Texas*, dissenting opinion by Samuel Alito). On December 22, 2017, Donald Trump signed the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act which eliminated the penalty for not obtaining health insurance. Trump was not able to repeal the mandate itself because he did not have the supermajority in the Senate needed to override a Democrat filibuster. He was able to eliminate the penalty by a simple majority vote because it was a revenue item, and under a special parliamentary procedure called budget reconciliation, it was not subject to a filibuster. The mandate should have been removed by the Supreme Court, but such was the world with which Trump was forced to deal. **The Electric Vehicle Mandate.** Since the start of his second term as president, Donald Trump has undertaken to eliminate the mandates to purchase electric vehicles established by Joe Biden. Rejecting the Biden regime of government coercion, social engineering and green agenda executive orders, Trump has restored the constitutional right of individuals to purchase the products of their choice. Rejecting the Biden regime of forced electrification, forced infrastrucutre construction, forced zero emissions targets, tax credits for buying electric vehicles and penalties for buying gasoline powered vehicles, Trump has smashed the power of the state to reduce free born individuals to human vehicles driven by the commissars of political correctness: "Under the Joe Biden administration, purchasing an electric vehicle was not merely a suggestion for Iowa families; it was a de facto mandate. In 2021, President Biden signed an executive order requiring that 50 percent of new vehicle sales be zero emission by 2030, officially kick starting his mission to electrify as many cars, trucks, tractors and semis as quickly as possible. Later in his term, he pledged that his administration would work toward the full electrification of the federal government's 650,000 vehicle fleet, approving a waiver allowing California to move forward with its EV mandates on trucks, and his Environmental Protection Agency announced several stringent and unrealistic tailpipe rules that would require 67 percent of new light duty vehicles and 46 percent of medium duty vehicles to be electric by 2032. In one of his final moves before leaving office, President Biden approved another set of waivers paving the way for California to ban the sale of gasoline powered vehicles by 2035 and imposing unworkable and costly regulations on heavy duty trucks, in turn, forcing the electrification of semis and other similar vehicles." (*Stopping Biden's Electric Vehicle Mandates* by Randy Feenstra). **Liberation.** A dragon is a legendary creature appearing in folklore throughout the world. Their depictions are terrifying, presenting the spectacle of winged, horned and fire breathing monsters. Their habitats are nightmares incarnate: "They reside in dark caves, deep pools, wild mountain reaches, sea bottoms, haunted forests." (*An Instinct For Dragons* by David Jones). In America in the twenty first century, a dragon is on the loose, breathing the fires of statist control. It comes from the darkness of majority rule, far removed from the light of law and reason. Blazing out state mandates on personal behavior, its extremist fires have been extinguished by Donald Trump.

REDS SHUT DOWN AMERICA

With this airlift, we should be able to bring in 600 or 700 tons a day. While 2,000 tons a day is required in normal foods, 600 tons a day (using dried foods to the maximum extent) will substantially increase the morale of the German people and will unquestionably seriously disturb the Soviet blockade. To accomplish this, it is urgent that we be given approximately 50 additional transport planes to arrive in Germany at the earliest practicable date, and each day's delay will of course decrease our ability to sustain our position in Berlin. Crews would be needed to permit maximum operation of these planes. (*Airbridge To Berlin* by Lucius Clay). West Berlin, June 24, 1948 to May 12, 1949. In an attempt to overthrow the West Berlin government, the Soviet Union

blocks Western Allies' railway, road and canal access to city. In response, the Western Allies launch the Berlin Airlift to fly in food, fuel and other essentials to the 2.5 million people trapped in the city by the advance of communism. Lasting for a year, the Berlin Blockade, the first confrontation between the Communist Bloc and the Free World in the Cold War, was defeated by Western airplanes landing in the city around the clock. In the twenty first century, in the nation which led the Berlin Airlift to rescue West Berlin, communists have struck again and sought to overthrow the federal government. On October 1, 2025, at 12:01 am, Eastern Daylight Time, the federal government of the United States was shut down by the Democrat Party seeking to implement its communist agenda. This was the third shutdown by the Democrats under Donald Trump over the latter's opposition to the party's plans. The first shutdown lasted from January 20, 2018 to January 22, 2018 and was caused by the Democrats' opposition to Trump's plan to deport illegal aliens, protected under the Deferred Action for Childhood Arrivals, and his plan to build a Mexican-American border wall to block a rising tide of unassimilable migrant scum. The second shutdown lasted from December 22, 2018 to January 25, 2019 and was the longest shutdown in the nation's history. It was caused by the Democrat refusal to provide 5.7 billion dollars for the construction of the border wall. **Shutdown.** The latest federal government shutdown was caused by the Democrat demand for healthcare for illegal aliens. By the Democrat demand for the funding of communist projects in foreign countries. By the Democrat demand for the funding of the communist propaganda organs, National Public Radio and the Public Broadcasting System: "Democrats are making history but doing it for the wrong reasons, House Speaker Mike Johnson (R-LA) said on the 22nd day of the Democrat shutdown, reminding Americans of some of the things Democrats demanded in their 'dirty' counterproposal to open the government, including restoring funding of left wing pet projects in foreign countries. 'This is now the second longest government shutdown of any kind ever in the history of our country, and it’s just shameful,' Johnson said at the beginning of the press conference. 'Democrats keep making history, but they’re doing it for all the wrong reasons.' Johnson reiterated—as he has done daily—that Republicans passed a clean, nonpartisan continuing resolution (CR) to keep the government open for the American people. 'Now look on the other side of that figurative split screen, as demonstrated here, the lights are out. It’s all dark. Why? Because what the Democrats have accomplished in nine and a half months is a government shutdown,' he said, noting that Democrats in Congress have now voted 12 times to keep the government shut down as they try to place the blame on Republicans. 'It was over a month ago now that we passed—the Republicans passed—the clean, short-term continued resolution. It’s nonpartisan—no gimmicks, no tricks, no partisan Republican policy priorities added to that,' he said, reminding Americans this was only 24 pages long. In contrast, the Democrats offered a 'dirty' CR full of partisan nonsense. 'Ours was a clean CR. We call theirs a dirty CR. And you all know why: because they added one and a half trillion dollars in new spending that would be a mandate, including hundreds of billions of taxpayer dollars for health care for illegal aliens, Johnson said, walking through more of their demands. 'They want to restore funding for left wing pet projects in foreign countries. And I’ve given you a list of some of the crazy things that they would include in that half a billion dollars for liberal [Marxist] news outlets like NPR and PBS on the backs of taxpayers,' Johnson said. 'Democrats put together this haphazard grab bag of crazy leftist policy proposals when they knew that it would not be agreed upon, and they knew that it was clear the Republicans were working to do the responsible thing and offer a clean CR to fund the government,' he added." (*Speaker Mike Johnson: Democrats’ ‘Dirty’ Counterproposal Included Restoring Funding of Left Wing Pet Projects In Foreign Countries* by Hannah Knudsen). **Shutdown.** The latest federal government shutdown was caused by the Democrat demand to fund communist health care provision in the form of a single, government payer system: "In the final days of the hotly contested New York City mayor's race, House Republicans are upping their attacks on Zohran Mamdani by blaming him for government shutdown. The Queens assemblyman was mobbed by supporters on the East Side of Manhattan on Monday, but in Washington he was hardly a fan

favorite. House Speaker Mike Johnson didn't mince words when trying to link Mamdani's Democratic socialist policies to the shutdown. 'We can't allow a rise of Marxist and far left activist in one party to shut down the entire operation of the federal government, and that is exactly what they are allowing them to do,' Johnson said. 'The Democrats have to come to their senses. You can endorse communists all you want, but at least keep the government operating for us.' On the heels of Minority Leader Hakeem Jeffries' endorsement of Mamdani, House leaders are now uttering the mayoral frontrunner's name as one reason Democrats want new health care provisions as the price for striking a deal to reopen the government. 'Many of them are avowed to get us to a single payer system. They do love socialism, my friends. They love Mamdani. He wants government to take over everything. They're on board with that program,' Johnson said." (*House GOP Blames Zohran Mamdani For Government Shutdown. Here's The NYC Mayoral Candidate's Response* by Marcia Kramer). **Shutdown.** The latest federal government shutdown was caused by the Democrat demand for funding for far left activism and a guillotine descending on the nation. Gleaming with messages of radicalism and revolution, this guillotine demands free health care for illegal aliens, the assassination of the police, the assassination of immigration and border control agents, the assassination of America's traditional ideals and free institutions, and the assassination of Donald Trump whose presidency symbolizes all these. Holding hundreds of thousands of federal workers hostage to its demands, including air traffic controllers, Transportation Security Administration agents, and members of the armed forces, this guillotine is the terrifying face of the Democrat Party today and one half of the nation which supports it: "The radical wing of the Democrat Party, that's what these people are playing up to. They would rather provide free health care to illegal immigrants in this country than pay the men and women in uniform. That's who the Democrat Party, the members of this Senate, are following the advice of– and they're following them right over the cliff. Before the vote, Senator Chris Coons of Delaware came to the Floor to explain why Democrats flip flopped on the critical issue of defending the nation. He's the Ranking Member of the Defense Appropriations Subcommittee. He helped write the bill. You'd think he would be for it. He is well aware of what we need to do to supply the military with what they need. He said that the bill writing process was 'positive,' 'constructive' and 'bipartisan.' Sure it was. That's how you get a bill out of the committee 26-3. He admitted on this very floor last Thursday, he said, 'I won't vote for the defense appropriations bill, even though it's my bill.' There you have it. He praised it, helped write it, voted yes, and then voted no. That's the Democrat Party of today. They were for it before they were against it. That's what happens when you let the radical left wing of the party call the shots. Democrats have now voted 11 times against a clean continuing resolution to reopen the government. They voted 13 times on similar measures supporting a clean continuing resolution when Joe Biden was president. The only difference now is that the far left activists groups are watching and they are taking names. One Democrat Senator admitted voting to reopen the government would mean 'people are going to get hammered by the radical left.' That's what they're worried about, getting hammered by the radical left. It's been reported that Democrat senators are 'terrified of getting the guillotine' from the far left activist groups. Democrats know they could end this shutdown today, but they're too scared of the radical activists who continue to carry the tune of the Democrat Party. There they are, congratulating themselves. On Saturday, Senate Democrats protested in the streets with protesters holding signs calling for the death of President Trump. That's the Democrat party of 2025." (*Democrats Are 'Terrified of Getting The Guillotine' From Far-Left Activist Groups* by John Barrasso). **Shutdown.** In the presidential election of 2024, the Democrat candidate Kamala Harris received 75 million votes or fifty percent of the electorate. On October 1, 2025, her party and this fifty percent, demanding welfare benefits for illegal aliens, continued support for communist organs at home and abroad, and federal funding for transgender surgeries, commenced a shutdown of the federal government to achieve their demands. On October 1, 2025, the Democrat Party and its national constituency shut down America.

BELGIUM TODAY: A RED NARCO CRIME STATE

A chain reaction occurs when a reactive product causes additional reactions to occur, leading to a catastrophe. It can be seen when a snowball rolls down a hill, picks up more and more snow, gains more and more mass, gains more and more speed, and creates an avalanche. It can be seen when a single domino in a row of dominos topples its neighbor, leading to all the dominoes eventually falling. In the twenty first century, in Northwestern Europe, in a region known as the Low Countries, in a nation bordered by the Netherlands, Germany, Luxembourg, France and the North Sea, a population of twelve million people has fallen under the control of a narcostate and rampant crime in the streets. In the twenty first century, Belgium has been destroyed by a chain reaction and become unrecognizable. The nation nicknamed the Battleground of Europe, nicknamed because it was the site of World War I fought between the free world and German militarism, has become a battleground for the forces of civilizational collapse, and disgraced its European land and culture. The nation which is one of the six founding members of the European Union, whose capital Brussels serves as the capital of the EU, hosting the European Commission and the European Parliament, has become a jungle of lawlessness which has sent its citizens fleeing for their lives. The new narcogovernnent and crime state which is Belgium is the end product of a chain reaction set into motion by the nation's, Europe's and the West's official religion. It was set into motion by Marxism. Seeking to subvert Enlightenment institutions with a triple gorgon, fire breathing monster called gender, race and class politics, this mass religion blooming in the moral sewers of society has enacted policies of multiculturalism, open borders and the promotion of Islam in its campaign to overthrow liberal democracy. It has made common cause with gangs of violence, ignorance and superstition in its campaign to overthrow the West, and has made a mockery of the European Union it helped to found and whose institutions it has sworn to uphold. Look at the cause, Marxism, see a chain reaction lead to an entrenched narcostate and waves of migrant criminality, and see the end of Belgium as a free, civilized society. **Narcostate.** Look at Belgium today and see a parallel state defeat the police and judiciary. See a mafia smash the rule of law. See corruption sweep through the echelons of government. See an illegal economy protected by the government. See gang wars greenlighted by the government. See judges investigating crime and corruption forced to live, work and communicate in anonymity, their families endangered, and seek refuge in safe houses without compensation, insurance or protection of privacy from the government. See these judges forced to recuse themselves from cases and replaced by procrime and procorruption judges. See the government allow mafia drug bosses to command their organizations from jail. See a state unable to defend itself or deliver justice. See a state without security, without safety and without foundations in liberal traditions and institutions: "The anonymous open letter appeared on the website of the Belgian courts and tribunals and is addressed to the justice select committee. The author says that based on her practical experience she wants to paint a picture of the challenges we face and to make concrete suggestions. That picture is disturbing. ‘Extensive mafialike structures have become entrenched, becoming a parallel power that challenges not only the police but also the judiciary,’ she writes. 'There are serious consequences: are we evolving into a narcostate? No way, you may think? Is this exaggerated? According to our drugs commissioner, this evolution has already begun. My colleagues and I share that feeling. The examining magistrate writes that a narcostate is characterized by an illegal economy, corruption and violence. She cites examples of all three phenomena that we have seen in Belgium in recent years. The letter writer says that she herself spent four months in a safe house. ‘In such circumstances, the government did not contact me, actively offer support, provide compensation, offer shelter for family and colleagues, or insure my family on account of the damage caused. And then you pick up where you left off. 'It just comes with the job,' my government seems to say.’ A judiciary that is beginning to function poorly is a dangerous erosion of democracy is the message. ‘It is already becoming more difficult to find judges who are willing to rule on such cases. People often target the individual instead of the issue,

for example, sometimes via recusal requests. Colleagues are allowing themselves to be replaced in cases where a threat is clearly present.' 'How long will it take before a colleague feels compelled, for his own safety, to conjure up a procedural error so that he does not have to sign a conviction?' The examining magistrate cites five points that can be implemented in the short term: legislation allowing people to work anonymously, a permanent point of contact for magistrates under threat, insurance for all physical and material damage, protection of private addresses, and a firm stand on communications from prison. 'Despite all the efforts of the police and the judiciary, we are no longer able to protect citizens and ourselves.' 'This is not a lament, nor are we asking for applause or praise. We are asking for a government that takes responsibility for protecting its own foundations,' concludes the investigating judge. 'The question is not whether the rule of law is under threat. It already is. The question how is our state is going to defend itself.' Belgian justice minister Annelies Verlinden (Flemish Christian democrat)says she understands the investigating judge's serious concerns and adds that she has already taken action and has further measures to increase the level of security for justice department workers planned. 'The delivery of justice in Belgium is in the public domain, but we are working on removing data from the national register, signatures and names from what is made public. Changes to the digitization of the justice system are being worked out. This also applies to prison staff,' the minister says. Ms Verlinden also wants work undertaken to improve the security at courts. 'Security at the entrance is not guaranteed everywhere. We are asking the police to increase their presence in buildings and we have already announced that the number of scanning lanes must be doubled.' Ms Verlinden has also ordered analyses of security risks and threats faced by magistrates. 'This situation is unacceptable. People must be able to do their work, but only in safe conditions. We need to step up efforts in this area.' " (*'We Are Becoming A Narcostate,' Belgian Judge Warns* by Colin Clapson). **Crime in the Streets.** Look at Belgium today and see a multicultural city under attack by the migrant scum of the earth. See the Moroccan Mafia direct the course of crime and place the city under its control. See four in ten residents place themselves in the service of alien powers seeking to enforce Islam. See the nation lose territory to drug gangs. See drug gangs go on rampages without fear of the police, prosecutors or the law. See the nation reduced to a bloody cockpit, savage, dangerous and off limits. See adults and children join an everexpanding community of drug dealers, rival gangs, turf battles and human kalashnikovs. See drug lords command their armies from behind bars. See foreign criminal gangs let out of jail, granted immunity from deportation, and given the keys to a failed state: "Drug gang crime has become so out of control in Brussels that military forces will be deployed to the Belgian capital, the government announced this weekend.nThe increasingly violent situation in Brussels, fueled in large part by drug gangs often of North African descent, such as the infamous multinational Morco Mafia, has spurred the government to take drastic action in the multicultural city, in which around four in ten residents are now foreign nationals. Minister of the Interior Bernard Quintin told *De Standaard*: 'We don't want to lose domestic territory. The army must defend the integrity of the territory. Military personnel usually do this at our borders or far beyond. But the war against drug crime also falls under the protection of our territory.' 'Only the means still need to be worked out,' Quintin added. 'Anyone who doesn't see an emergency situation now has been living on a different planet for the past year.' The Interior Minister said that he was inspired by a recent conversation with a local police officer, who told him that drug gangs are 'not afraid of the blue anymore,' but they still fear the military uniforms of soldiers. 'By deploying the army, the state demonstrates its willingness to use all its power for the safety of its citizens,' he said, adding that soldiers will be deployed in mixed units with police. Although Quintin denied that there are any no go zones in Belgium yet, he warned that 'there are places where it is difficult and that we are in danger of losing.' The Belgian capital has been fraught with violence, including a bloody summer in which 20 people were shot, with a total of 57 since the start of the year. Brussels public prosecutor Julien Moinil said last month that 6,211 adults and 874 minors had been arrested this year, a threefold increase over 2024. Mionil said that 1,250 of those arrested were suspected drug

dealers, with rival gangs often engaging in turf battles, including shootouts with Kalashnikovs. Like gangs in fellow northern European nations like Sweden and Denmark, minors are frequently used by foreign criminal gangs, given that they typically receive less jail time. Nevertheless, the prosecutor called for prison security to be tightened, to prevent gang bosses who are actually imprisoned from continuing to give orders from behind bars. Mionil also advocated for a much faster process to deport foreign criminals from Belgium." (*Belgium To Deploy Military To Multicultural Capital Brussels tTo Combat Drug Gangs* by Kurt Zindulka). **Chain Reaction.** There was a dazzling flash seen across the sky. This was followed by deafening explosions and columns of smoke rising all around. Walls were smashed, roofs were shattered, people were reduced to burns, ashes and radiation sickness, and a city was razed to the ground, its vanishment revealing a sweeping view of the mountains to the south and northeast. This was Hiroshima, August 6, 1945, the day it was struck by a nuclear bomb, the first in history. A nuclear chain reaction had unleashed a rain of ruin the likes of which history had never seen before. In Belgium in the twenty first century, eighty years after the Hiroshima bombing, a chain reaction set into motion by a Marxist establishment and its multiculturalism, support for Islam and open borders has led to a firestorm. An advancing explosion has seen waves of drug smuggling, drug production, drug trafficking, migrant gang activities and religious violence, and its fallout has made the nation sick for all time to come.

LIBERALISM DEBUNKED

NAP. Nonaggression Principle. 1. Don't hurt people. 2. Don't take their stuff. Thus speaks a famous slogan appearing on smartphones throughout the world, serving to remind people of the cardinal liberal principle that the individual's supreme political duty consists in not causing harm to others and in respecting the property of others. Proclaimed by the Enlightenment thinkers John Locke, Adam Smith, Francois Quesnay, Jean Baptiste Say, Montesquieu, Voltaire, Thomas Paine and David Ricardo, the Nonaggression Principle is the core principle of liberalism and has galvanized and inspired both the tragic victims of state oppression and the unabashed moral sewers of the world. The principle was refuted in Classical Culture as it was valorized in the Faustian West during the Enlightenment, and over the centuries it has fallen into disrepute in the West before the rising tides of statism, and in the twenty first century, it has been completely discredited by the reigning orthodoxy of Marxism and its offshoots. The principle was refuted in Classical Culture, guided by the ideals of its preeminent god Apollo, the ideals of reason, sanity, human perfection and common sense, and never among the major Greek philosophers, Socrates, Plato and Aristotle, did it enjoy the status of respectability. As straight as the rays of the sun and as crystal clear as daylight, the Classical argument against the Nonaggression Principle ran as follows. **Liberalism Debunked.** Man like all things which exist is something, and has an identity and definition. The definition of man is that of a rational animal. This is the identification that man, unique among living creatures, possesses the ability to think, to draw conclusions from premises, to engage in inductive reasoning from observational premises or in deductive reasoning from other statements, and thus to arrive at a state of truth and certainty. Because man is a rational animal, his specific good and perfection resides in living a life of reason, a life informed by rational projects achieved by rational means, and those who do not lead such a life are not, morally speaking, human persons, and are not entitled to possess human rights. Those who do not lead a life of reason are human animals and are not protected by the Nonaggression Principle. Listen to Aristotle proclaim that the good and perfection of man resides in the performance of his unique function, and in its consistent performance over the course of a lifetime and not on any exceptional, temporary or momentary basis. Man's unique function is that of reason. The person who falls short of this objective requirement of living a life of reason is not an objectively good person, and is no better than an animal: "To say that virtue is the chief good seems a platitude, and a clearer account of what it is, is still desired. This might perhaps be given if we could first ascertain the function of man. For just as for a flute player, a sculptor, or

an artist, and, in general, for all things that have a function or activity, the good is thought to reside in that function, so would it seem to be for man, if he has a function. Have the carpenter, then, and the tanner certain functions or activities, and has man none? Is he born without a function? Or as eye, hand, foot, and in general each of the parts evidently has a function, may one lay it down that man similarly has a function apart from all these? What then can this be? Life seems to be common even to plants, but we are seeking what is peculiar to man. Let us exclude, therefore, the life of nutrition and growth. Next there would be a life of perception, but it also seems to be common even to the horse, the ox and every animal. There remains, then, a rational principle. Now if the function of man is an activity which follows a rational principle, and if we say *so and so* and *a good so and so* have a function which is the same in kind, for example, a lyre, and a good lyre player, and we state the function of man to be a certain kind of life following a rational principle, and the function of a good man to be the good and noble performance of reason, then the objective human good turns out to be activity accordance with reason. But we must add *over a complete life*. For one swallow does not make a summer, nor does one day, and so too one day, or a short time, does not make a man good." (*Nicomachean Ethics*, Book I, Chapter 7). **Liberalism Debunked.** The Nonaggression Principle rests on the doctrine of subjectivism. Liberalism proclaims that because there is no such thing as objective truth or certainty, there is no objective definition of man, and no objective good or perfection of man, and therefore there is no objective difference between human persons and human animals, and all individuals must enjoy equal rights. Listen to John Stuart Mill proclaim that there exists no objective truth or certainty because we are all fallible, and in consequence there must be allowed a freedom of speech and a free discussion of ideas: "The opinion which it is attempted to suppress by authority may possibly be true. Those who desire to suppress it, of course deny its truth; but they are not infallible. They have no authority to decide the question for all mankind, and exclude every other person from the means of judging. To refuse a hearing to an opinion, because they are sure that it is false, is to assume that *their* certainty is the same thing as *absolute* certainty. All silencing of discussion is an assumption of infallibility. Its condemnation may be allowed to rest on this common argument, not the worse for being common. Strange it is, that men should admit the validity of the arguments for free discussion, but object to their being 'pushed to an extreme,' not seeing that unless the reasons are good for an extreme case, they are not good for any case. Strange that they should imagine that they are not assuming infallibility, when they acknowledge that there should be free discussion on all subjects which can possibly be *doubtful*, but think that some particular principle or doctrine should be forbidden to be questioned because it is *so certain*, that is, because *they are certain* that it is certain. To call any proposition certain, while there is any one who would deny its certainty if permitted, but who is not permitted, is to assume that we ourselves, and those who agree with us, are the judges of certainty, and judges without hearing the other side. " (*On Liberty*). **Liberalism Debunked.** Resting on the doctrine of subjectivism, liberalism is a religion without rational basis, because the doctrine of subjectivism is false. It is false because it is self contradictory, claiming itself to be true and certain while denying the possibility of truth and certainty. It is false because it is self canceling, being one more statement of arbitrary opinion which cannot consistently claim to be true or certain. Listen to the liberal religion of nonaggression and hear a religion of animal license. Hear it proclaim that human animals cannot be interfered with in their persons or property. Human animals must be granted equal freedom with human persons. Human animals and human persons are equal in dignity and worth and possess the same legal rights. Human animals have the right to do whatever they want provided they respect the equal freedom of other human animals. Human animals possess every liberty under the sun except the liberty to invade other human animals. Human animals have the right of ownership over their minds and bodies. Human animals have the right to personal liberty and economic liberty. Human animals possess natural, inborn rights and freedoms. Human animals possess the rights to life, liberty and property. Human animals have the right to work, earn a living and keep the fruits of their labor. Human animals are protected by boundaries marked

individual rights beyond which no government may trespass. Human animals are kings, prophets and high priests in their underworld of disorder and degeneration: "The nonaggression axiom is an ethical principle often appealed to as a basis for libertarian rights theory. The principle forbids aggression, which is understood to be any and all forcible interference with any individual's person or property except in response to the initiation (including, for most proponents of the principle, the *threatening* of initiation) of similar forcible interference on the part of that individual. The axiom is often regarded as virtually equivalent to Herbert Spencer's *law of equal freedom* ('Every man has freedom to do all that he wills, provided he infringes not the equal freedom of any other man'), or to the principle of *self ownership*, or both inasmuch as all three principles specify protected boundaries around each individual, ordinarily understood to include not just the individual's mind and body, but also legitimately acquired external property. Within these boundaries, people are to be allowed complete liberty from forcible interference by others, the extent of one individual's boundary being limited only by the similar boundaries of others. This axiom is intended to govern the actions not only of private citizens, but of government officials. Hence, the enforcement of laws or regulations requiring anything more from individuals than their bare abstention from aggression counts as aggression and so is prohibited under the principle. The entire range of libertarian rights to personal and economic liberty is thus taken to follow from the nonaggression axiom. The nonaggression axiom has 'a long past but a short history.' It is in the 17th century that the prohibition on aggression began to bear radical political fruit. Precursors of the nonaggression axiom were employed in support of revolutionary liberalism by writers like Richard Overton, who wrote that 'every man by nature [is] a king, priest and prophet in his own natural circuit and compass, and thus no second may partake but by deputation, commission, and free consent from him whose natural right and freedom it is.' John Locke wrote similarly that 'being all equal and independent, no one ought to harm another in his life, health, liberty or possessions.' " (*Nonaggression Principle*, libertarianism.org). **Liberalism Debunked.** In the twentieth century, the philosopher Ayn Rand sought to defend the Nonaggression Principle on the grounds that equal freedom for all is an instrument to achieve the human good and perfection of the life of reason. Equal freedom for all is in fact not an instrument to achieve such, but only freedom for human persons. Freedom for human animals will not enable them to achieve the human good because they are not human persons. Rand defends the Classical doctrine of rational flourishing, but defends as well the Nonaggression Principle which grants freedom to human animals who reject rational flourishing. The Classical morality and politics of rational flourishing grants freedom to human persons and to them alone. It sanctions forceful action against classes of virulent religionists, influential in the world today and growing in influence by the hour, who worship at the altars of Society on earth or God in heaven. It sanctions forceful action against the Marxist Left worshiping Society and its gender, race and class tribes, and against the Christian Right and Islamic Jihad worshiping God in heaven. Grounded in the definition of man as a rational animal, the Classical world of rational flourishing is the sole path to human salvation.

MARXIST AND MUSLIM MASTERS OF THE WORLD

Connect The Dots is a famous children's puzzle invented in the nineteenth century containing a sequence of numbered dots. The puzzle is solved when a line is drawn connecting the dots and the outline of a person or object is revealed. From this puzzle has come a phrase in the English language, *connect the dots*, which illustrates an ability to see a big picture from a mass of data. In the twenty first century, a big picture has emerged from a mass of events which has struck terror in the hearts of civilized people. It is a picture of a plan whose growing success is symptomatic as nothing else is of the decline and fall of Western civilization. The success is growing by the hour and placing free societies in a state of siege. It is a plan for global conquest and it is being aided and abetted by the forces of domestic subversion. In the twenty first century, the domestic forces of

Marxism, a religion born in Europe in the nineteenth century, bearing fruit in the twentieth century, and dedicated in the present day to overthrowing the institutions of the Enlightenment by the detonation of bombs stamped *gender, race, class, culture and sexual orientation politics*, have formed an axis with another religion and enabled it to advance across the world. This religion was born in Arabia 1500 years ago, and in the twenty first century, it is on the march as it has never been before. In the twenty first century, Islam with the help of Marxism is achieving victory over the West and rendering it unrecognizable. Look at events involving Muslims since the dawn of the new millennium and see freedom go up in blazes. **Muslims Advance.** Terror attacks on the World Trade Center and Pentagon by Al Qaeda on September 11, 2001 kill 3000 people. A terror attack on Israel on October 7, 2023 by Hamas kills 1200 people, the worst attack on Jews since the Holocaust. Mass unvetted Muslim migration sweeps into Europe. The city of London has been under the governance of a Marxist-Muslim mayor, Sadiq Khan, since 2016. Police across Britain arrest people for wearing the Star of David on the grounds that this Jewish symbol offends Muslims. Imams such as Asrar Rashid in Nottingham call on Muslims to eradicate whites. Cities such as Manchester ban Israeli fans from attending soccer games. Muslims such as Ayoub Khan in the British House of Commons threaten to kill all Jews: "We will not show them mercy." The number of Muslims in the House of Commons reaches a record at twenty five. A Muslim migrant from Saudi Arabia drives a car into a Christmas market in Magdeburg, Germany on December 24, 2024 and kills five people. An American convert to Islam in New Orleans drives a truck into a crowd celebrating New Year's Day 2025 and kills fifteen people. Marxist-Muslim mayoral candidate in New York City, Zohran Mamdani, is funded by the Hamas front, Council on American Islamic Relations, and is supported by Imam Siraj Wahhaj, whose Brookyln mosque is a center of terror instruction and incitement, hosting Sheikh Omar Abdel-Rahman, mastermind of the 1993 terror attack on the World Trade Center. Pope Leo XIV opens up a Muslim prayer room in the Vatican. The Muslim terror group Boko Haram in Nigeria kills 100,000 nonMuslims and burns 18,000 Christian churches. **Marxist-Muslim Axis.** Look at the West today and see Hamas terrorists conduct a pogrom in Israel and take hostages. See Marxist and Muslim Jew haters emerge from the depths of hell. See Marxist proPalestinian protestors demand that Israel make peace by surrendering to Hamas. See a Marxist-Muslim axis condemn Israel as genocidal but sanction Hamas's genocide against Jews. See a Marxist-Muslim axis take over European capitals and advocate war against Israel. See a Marxist-Muslim axis advocate a global intifada against Israel, Jews and liberal civilization. See a Marxist-Muslim axis condemn Israel for human rights violations, and condemn no other nation in the world for human rights violations. See a Marxist-Muslim cult of death and destruction take over European capitals and sacrifice human freedom on the altars of Muslim jihad and Marxist identity politics. See a Marxist-Muslim axis condemn Israel as a zionist entity because it is a liberal capitalist nation, and the only such nation in the Middle East. See a Marxist-Muslim axis advocate the defeat and destruction of Israel. See a Marxist-Muslim axis advocate a second Holocaust, this time within Israel, and advocate the killing of Jews "From the River to the Sea." See a Marxist-Muslim axis advocate a creed of antisemitism, antizionism, anticapitalism and antiliberalism. See a Marxist-Muslim axis declare war against whites, Israel and capitalism in the name of Allah, the Prophet, and gender, race, class, culture and sexual orientation politics. See a Marxist-Muslim axis declare war on white capitalist Jews and promote the cause of nonwhite Palestinian jihadists. See European nations condemn Israel as a white capitalist oppressor regime and grant diplomatic recognition to Palestine. See European governments, Labor Parties and political commentators celebrate Hamas, Islam and Palestinian terror, and condemn all that Marxists and Muslims hate. See them condemn liberal democracy and Enlightenment civilization: "President Trump’s remarkable Middle East deal has led to the release of the last twenty surviving hostages of the 251 seized by Hamas terrorists during the October 7 pogrom in Israel. The end of their suffering, after two years of hell, should be a cause for celebration not only for Israelis but for anybody who wants to see an end to terrorism and war in the Middle East. Yet strangely, some in Europe and the West seem less than enthused

about the first stage of Trump's peace deal. The proPalestinian protestors who have long demanded Israel submit to a 'Ceasefire now' have sworn to continue fighting, despite an actual ceasefire coming into force. Much remains uncertain about what will happen next in the Middle East. But Trump's deal has already made some things very clear about what's been happening in Europe and the West. It should now be clear that the protestors are not interested in peace or primarily concerned with saving Palestinian lives. Instead, they are motivated above all by hatred of the Jewish state of Israel. If you seriously believed that Israel has been committing genocide in Gaza, wouldn't you be pleased to see the allegedly genocidal war come to an end? So why do so many who have cried 'genocide' over the past two years seem unhappy about Trump's deal? Because, as various Palestinian solidarity groups made clear in response to the announcement of Trump's deal, their war is 'not over until zionism is completely eradicated'; it is 'not over until the zionist entity ceases to exist.' What the Islamists and their Islamo-left allies in the West want is the complete defeat and destruction of Israel. No peace deal could be enough for them unless the Israeli state agreed to sign its own death warrant. This, after all, is the real meaning, as we have argued from the start, of the slogan *From the River to the Sea* that has resounded through the streets of European capitals: a demand to wipe the state of Israel off the map and drive the Jews into the Mediterranean. Recent events have also made clear that their antizionism is an increasingly thin veil for outright antisemitism. How did UK proPalestinian activists respond to the murderous attack on a synagogue in Manchester? By holding protests promoting the demand to 'Globalize the Intifada.' As a banner held by counterprotestors in London on Saturday made clear, that sounds very much like 'a call to murder Jews.' Of course anybody should be free to criticize the Israeli or any other government. But there is an increasingly obvious double standard at work here, ignoring bloodier conflicts elsewhere and singling out Israel for condemnation—even when it is trying to make a peace deal. Such political discrimination is otherwise known as antisemitism, or plain old Jew hatred. We should now be able to recognize these antiIsrael crusaders, not as the allies of peace in the Middle East, but as the West's enemies within. They have drunk the toxic cocktail of Islamism and leftist identity politics that declares white Jews are always oppressors, whatever is done to them, and nonwhite Palestinians must always be the victims, whatever they do. That is why they still cannot recognize the truth, that the death cult of Hamas started the war on October 7th and is responsible for all the suffering that has followed, now refuses to disarm, and remains committed to repeating those atrocities 'again and again.' Israel must be supported when it inevitably has to deal with Hamas again. Rather than facing up to that harsh reality, too many European governments have instead given succor and support to Hamas by recognizing the fantasy state of Palestine. It is time we all recognized the fact that President Trump's breakthrough was made possible by the outstanding military successes of the Israeli Defence Forces, which finally forced the Arab states to come to the table and press Hamas to give up the hostages. " (*Trump's Middle East Deal Has Exposed The Truth About Europe's Islamo-Left* by Mick Hume). **Marxist-Muslim Axis.** Between the fall of Rome and the onset of the Renaissance, Europe was engulfed in darkness. These were centuries of ignorance and superstition, when a new Western culture emerged on the ruins of Classical antiquity, rejected pagan reason, and placed the Christian Church on the throne of power. Thinkers in the Renaissance and Enlightenment called this benighted time the Dark Ages, and celebrated the return to light, the return to empirical truth and the certainty brought by science which marked Classical culture. In the twenty first century, the West has entered into a stage of free fall brought about by a two century long war against the Enlightenment. It has brought back the reign of religion. It has come full circle and brought down a new Dark Ages. Rejecting the light of reason, science and liberal freedom, and advocating service to the gods of Gender, Race, Class, Culture and Sexual Orientation, or service to Allah and his Faithful, the new masters of the West are Marxists and Muslims.

SUPREME COURT ELECTS CAESAR PRESIDENT

The die is cast. Thus proclaimed the Roman general before he crossed the Rubicon River and led his legions in an insurrection, an act of treason and an act of war against the Roman state. The year was 49 BC, the general was Julius Caesar, and he uttered his famous phrase as he made his way to Rome to overthrow the Senate and Constitution and become dictator for life. This phrase has entered the English language along with another phrase from Caesar's career, *cross the Rubicon*, to denote a state of passing a point of no return, and setting into motion a catastrophe which cannot be reversed. Caesar's civil war to destroy Roman freedom gave him unchallenged power, but in 44 BC, in the Theater of Pompey in Rome, this power was cut short by a group of senators led by Brutus. On the Ides of March, Brutus assassinated this dictator, this king, and sought to restore the constitutional government of the Roman Republic. But it was not to be restored. The die had been cast, the Rubicon had been crossed, and henceforth the Classical world would be governed by a succession of military dictators called emperors, and a new Roman Empire, replacing the Roman Republic, would lead the Classical world into its grave. In the twentieth century, two thousand years after the onset of caesarism ignited the funeral pyres of the Roman rule of law, caesar returned and took over Western democracies. Benito Mussolini, Adolf Hitler, Franklin Roosevelt and Francisco Franco were among the voices of the new caesarism, and they led mobs and mass movements to overthrow the legacies of the Enlightenment. In the twenty first century, the movement of caesarism has reached its culmination, and in the nation which led the free world in two wars to defeat the armies of tyranny in Germany and in the Cold War to defeat the Communist Bloc, caesarism has been given a constitutional imprimatur. In the twenty first century, in the United States of America, the United States Supreme Court has issued a landmark decision which cast the die and crossed the Rubicon in the nation's descent into caesarism, and established a state of free fall. The case was *Trump versus United States*; it was decided on July 1, 2024; and it accelerated the nation into a jungle of official lawlessness which has rendered it unrecognizable. The America established by this decision is not the America fought for and founded on the fields of Lexington and Concord. That America established a constitutional republic setting forth limitations on state power, while this America has rejected all such limitations and transformed the presidency into a purple robe untrammeled by the constitution. Established by the United States Supreme Court, this America is a nation in which the president is above the law and enjoys immunity from criminal prosecution when acting in an official capacity. It is a nation in which the president's command of the military, his execution of laws and his control of the executive branch exist unchecked and are allowed to violate the rights of the people and inflict wanton destruction of life and property. It is a nation in which Julius Caesar, Benito Mussolini, Adolf Hitler and Francisco Franco have returned to life and brought to a culmination the nation's imperial presidency, commenced under Franklin Roosevelt, and smashed a lawful office occupied by a president with hammers handed to them by six justices on the United States Supreme Court, and transformed it into an illegal office occupied by a dictator.

Caesarism. On July 1, 2024, the United States Supreme Court proclaimed that the institution of the presidency created by the Constitution no longer exists. It proclaimed that official acts of the president must be daring, unhesitating and illegal. It proclaimed that no person is above the law except the president of the United States. It proclaimed that the president can commit crimes, including the crime of treason, with impunity: "Today's decision to grant former Presidents criminal immunity reshapes the institution of the Presidency. It makes a mockery of the principle, foundational to our Constitution and system of Government, that no man is above the law. Relying on little more than its own misguided wisdom about the need for 'bold and unhesitating action' by the President, the Court gives former President Trump all the immunity he asked for and more. Because our Constitution does not shield a former President from answering for criminal and treasonous acts, I dissent." (*Trump versus United States*, Justice Sonia Sotomayor, dissenting).

Caesarism. On July 1, 2024, the United States Supreme Court proclaimed that the president cannot

be prosecuted for violations of criminal law. It proclaimed that the president is above the law, the text of the Constitution be damned. It proclaimed that the president enjoys an absolute right to commit criminal acts in the exercise of his core powers. It proclaimed that the president enjoys an absolute right to engage in corruption in the exercise of his core powers. It proclaimed that nothing a president says or does in his official capacity can ever be held against him in any future court proceeding: "The Court now confronts a question it has never had to answer in the Nation's history: Whether a former President enjoys immunity from federal criminal prosecution. The majority thinks he should, and so it invents an atextual, ahistorical, and unjustifable immunity that puts the President above the law. The majority makes three moves that, in effect, completely insulate Presidents from criminal liability. First, the majority creates absolute immunity for the President's exercise of 'core constitutional powers.' This holding is unnecessary on the facts of the indictment, and the majority's attempt to apply it to the facts expands the concept of core powers beyond any recognizable bounds. In any event, it is quickly eclipsed by the second move, which is to create expansive immunity for all 'offcial acts.' Whether described as presumptive or absolute, under the majority's rule, a President's use of any offcial power for any purpose, even the most corrupt, is immune from prosecution. That is just as bad as it sounds, and it is baseless. Finally, the majority declares that evidence concerning acts for which the President is immune can play no role in any criminal prosecution against him. That holding, which will prevent the Government from using a President's official acts to prove knowledge or intent in prosecuting private offenses, is nonsensical." (*Trump versus United States*, Justice Sonia Sotomayor, dissenting). **Caesarism.** On July 1, 2024, the United States Supreme Court proclaimed that the president enjoys immunity from criminal prosecution in the exercise of his official powers, even though the constitution explicitly provides for criminal liability in the Impeachment Judgment Clause. This clause states that a president who is impeached, convicted and removed from office by the Senate "shall nevertheless be liable and subject to Indictment, Trial, Judgment and Punishment, according to Law": "The majority calls for a 'careful assessment of the scope of Presidential power under the Constitution.' For the majority, that 'careful assessment' does not involve the Constitution's text. I would start there. The Constitution's text contains no provision for immunity from criminal prosecution for former Presidents. First, the Framers clearly knew how to provide for immunity from prosecution. They did provide a narrow immunity for legislators in the Speech or Debate Clause. ('Senators and Representatives shall in all Cases, except Treason, Felony and Breach of the Peace, be privileged from Arrest during their Attendance at the Session of their respective Houses, and in going to and returning from the same; and for any Speech or Debate in either House, they shall not be questioned in any other Place). They did not extend the same or similar immunity to Presidents. Second, 'some state constitutions at the time of the Framing specifcally provided express criminal immunities' to sitting governors.' (*Brief for Scholars of Constitutional Law*). The Framers chose not to include similar language in the Constitution to immunize the President. If the Framers 'had wanted to create some constitutional privilege to shield the President from criminal indictment,' they could have done so. (*Memorandum from R. Rotunda to K. Starr re: Indictability of the President*). They did not. Third, insofar as the Constitution does speak to this question, it actually contemplates some form of criminal liability for former Presidents. [The Impeachment Judgmernt Clause] presumes the availability of criminal process as a backstop by establishing that an official impeached and convicted by the Senate 'shall nevertheless be liable and subject to Indictment, Trial, Judgment and Punishment, according to Law.' That Clause clearly contemplates that a former President may be subject to criminal prosecution for the same conduct that resulted (or could have resulted) in an impeachment judgment—including conduct such as 'Bribery,' which implicates official acts almost by definition." (*Trump versus United States*, Justice Sonia Sotomayor, dissenting). **Caesarism.** On July 1, 2024, the United States Supreme Court proclaimed that the president may commit any official acts he pleases and enjoy immunity from criminal prosecution. It proclaimed that he may boldly and without fear of the law commit all manner of criminal acts, from assassination to bribe

taking to overthrowing the government, provided he does so in his capacity as president, because he is in fact more than a president. He may do so because he is a king: "The President of the United States is the most powerful person in the country, and possibly the world. When he uses his official powers in any way, under the majority's reasoning, he now will be insulated from criminal prosecution. Orders the Navy's Seal Team 6 to assassinate a political rival? Immune. Organizes a military coup to hold on to power? Immune. Takes a bribe in exchange for a pardon? Immune. Immune, immune, immune. Let the President violate the law, let him exploit the trappings of his offce for personal gain, let him use his official power for evil ends. Because if he knew that he may one day face liability for breaking the law, he might not be as bold and fearless as we would like him to be. That is the majority's message today. The relationship between the President and the people he serves has shifted irrevocably. In every use of official power, the President is now a king above the law." (*Trump versus United States*, Justice Sonia Sotomayor, dissenting). **Caesarism.** 50 BC. The Roman Senate orders Julius Caesar to relinquish his military command and return to Rome as a private citizen. 49 BC. Caesar defies the Senate by crossing the Rubicon with his legions, casting the die with his legions, marching into Rome with his legions, launching a war against the Senate with his legions, and assuming the position of dictator for life. 1933. The German Parliament passes the Enabling Act which gives Adolf Hitler the power to make and enforce laws unchecked by the parliament or the president, and gives him immunity from criminal prosecution. July 1, 2024. The United States Supreme Court constructs a monster. This monster hurls a nation which for two centuries has been the envy of the world and the world's beacon of freedom into the dustbin of history. It is a license for presidents to break the law and commit all manner of crimes and never be held to account. It pushes America into the ranks of rogue states. It is a monster never before seen in the nation. Never before in the nation's history has a presidentr been granted immunity from criminal prosecution for acts committed in his official capacity. On July 1, 2024, the clock of history was sent racing backward into lands of disgrace and America became a caesar state.

THE POWERS THAT BE

Let every soul be subject to the higher powers. For there is no power but God. The powers that be are ordained by God. (*Romans*, 13:1). *The powers that be*. A well known phrase in idiomatic English, first appearing in the sixteenth century, referring to those who hold authority over a domain. The powers that be can refer to the government, corporate business management, the cultural establishment, and those who control the dissemination of information, and are entities which claim to express the values and attitudes of their subjects. In the twenty first century, the powers that be are the heirs and successors of the all embracing, totalitarian deity of the Christian imagination, and comprise a single entity including the government, corporate business management, the cultural establishment and those who control the dissemination of information throughout the West, an entity expressing the values and attitudes of the majority. In the twenty first century, the powers that be form an expansive monster enforcing a religion which has captured the hearts and minds of the masses. In its original version it enslaved one third of the world and killed one hundred million people. In its present day version, it is a multiheaded octopus with tentacles marked *gender*, *race*, *class*, *culture* and *sexual orientation*, and has spread into every sector of society. This is the nightmare creature known as Marxism, and the popularity of its multiplying forms, representing the end stage development of the logic of tribalism, has brought down the final chapter of the West, entitled *suicide.* Look at the powers that be today and see Marxist ruling circles elected by the people. Derived from Marxism, the powers that be fall into two main groups: the Marxist Marxists and the antiMarxist Marxists, the communists and the fascists, and these two groups are vying for control over late stage civilization in its dying hours. **Marxist Marxists.** Look at Marxist Marxists and see them condemn individual rights in the name of social justice. See them condemn universal truth from a radical leftist perspective. See them condemn reason and capitalism

as a regime of racism, sexism, homophobia and transphobia. See them promote Marxism in the name of environmentalism and vegetarianism. See them condemn capitalism as a regime of discrimination. See them silence the dissenting voices of liberal democracy. See them condemn capitalism as a regime of racial and social injustice. See them condemn capitalism as a regime of oppression, domination and exploitation. See them seek to cancel all those opposed to Marxist culture. See them declare war on the values and principles of the Enlightenment which form the underpinnings of modern capitalist, scientific and technological civilization. See them seek to deconstruct and destroy the notions of objective truth and certainty. See them promote a new postChristian religion condeming whites, males, heterosexuals, and those who accept their gender and do not seek to change it, as indelibly evil, incapable of being saved, incapable of being born again, incapable of atoning for their evil, and the bearers of original sin. See Calvinism brought down to earth and become a communist regime of fear and control. See them condemn capitalism as a heteropatriarchal regime. See them reject universal rational morality and proclaim that morality is relative to gender, race, class, culture and sexual orientation. See them reject empirical methods as racist and sexist and promote the Marxist party line of political correctness. See them reject science in favor of ideology and place mathematics, physics, biology, history, the humanities and the social sciences on a Marxist basis. See the culmination of a centuries long assault on reason, liberty and law and the onset of an age of mysticism, tribalism and violence. See the condemnation of the Enlightenment in the name of the prison notebook communist Antonio Gramsci, and the gender, race, class, culture and sexual orientation communists of the Frankfurt School and Postmodernism. See a cancer spread into every sector of society, from government to academia to the media to the entertainment industry to social networks to preschool and high school, and promote new anticivilizational, antiliberal and antiEnlightenment rules for the world: "We can define *woke* as a movement advocating for social justice from a radical leftist perspective, focusing on issues such as racism, sexism, homophobia, transphobia, environmentalism, and vegetarianism, among others. It involves an active and militant fight against all forms of discrimination, implying a break with the past to create a new culture and, consequently, the elimination of any dissenting voices. Woke is, therefore, a fundamentalist movement aimed at raising awareness of alleged past and present racial and social injustices. Originating in the African-American community, it stems from the concept of being awake or vigilant, which then extends to society at large, where all groups allegedly subjected to discrimination identify with the dichotomous logic of oppressor/oppressed, dominator/dominated, exploiter/exploited. From the notion of being awake (woke), it transitions to the punitive concept of cancel culture, which is inherently linked: if we are awake to discrimination, we must cancel (ban) those who discriminate. Ultimately, wokeism seeks to change the civilizational and cultural matrix of the West, erasing the principles, values, and references of the legacy of Athens and Rome, as well as the Enlightenment, science, and technology —in short, the world we have built. Wokeism is essentially based on three distinct sources, all rooted in heterodox Marxism: Gramscianism, the Frankfurt School, and Postmodernists. These schools aim, in one way or another, not only to critique but to deconstruct Western culture and, concomitantly, create a 'new man.' It’s worth noting that wokeism presents itself as a new secular religion, with a messianic and Calvinist inspired matrix, emphasizing the concept of predestination: some are the elect, others the reprobate. In Calvinism, this distinction is sovereignly determined by God and influences salvation. In the woke universe, the white, heterosexual man is irredeemably condemned—without possibility of redemption. In Christianity, original sin can be redeemed through baptism. In wokeism, the 'original guilt' of the white man is indelible. He cannot change his skin color; genetics forbids it. As a heterosexual, he is, by definition, homophobic. Even if he tries to become a woman, he can never fully succeed, as biology prevents it. He is thus marked from the outset as a privileged beneficiary of a heteropatriarchal system that historically favored him. Consequently, he is condemned—with no possibility of atonement. The triumph of moral relativism, embodied in woke ideology, is, without a doubt, the greatest threat, from which all

others derive. It represents the death sentence of Western civilization. We are witnessing the culmination of a long crisis of values that has been ongoing for decades and is now entering its terminal phase. It is unclear when this cycle will conclude, but it is certain that the end is approaching. University was, without a doubt, the cradle of the woke movement—and there is little room to dispute this reality. It all began in the departments of Social Sciences and Humanities, where this ideology quickly became dominant, driven by the imposition of political correctness and the spread of cancel culture. From there, it gradually extended to the socalled hard sciences, and today, virtually no academic discipline remains immune to woke influence. In summary: history is rewritten, biology is ignored, and mathematics is devalued, accused of being structurally racist (!). This deliberate collapse of academia is, ultimately, the alarm bell for the decline of Western civilization. Other global powers, such as China, India, and Russia, have refused to engage in this 'ideological harakiri,' positioning themselves to define the new rules of the world order. However, the contamination has not been confined to the academic sphere. The metastases have spread to nearly the entire social body: the media, the political class—especially among the left and socalled liberals [Marxists]—the entertainment industry, social networks, and even preschool and primary education." (*'Wokeism Presents Itself As A New Secular Religion'—Author And Diplomat Francisco Henriques Da Silva* by Rafael Pinto Borges). **AntiMarxist Marxists.** Look at antiMarxist Marxists and see a race and ethnicity version of Marxism declare war on its creator. See these neoMarxist fascists win electoral office across Europe and America. See them advance on capital cities with rifles loaded with race and ethnicity hate, and see them ride to power on the horses of labor and capital united by such hate. See them win majority support to repeal liberal democratic values. See them call themselves far right and see Marxist Marxists call them far right, when in actual fact they are far left because they promote collectivism, and are a derivative of Marxism marching under the flags of race and ethnicity. See them reject reason, empiricism, scientific inquiry and the modern Enlightenment in favor of emotion, tradition and the distant past. See them call their movement by many names, such as populism, trade protectionism and economic nationalism, as they sweep across America, Hungary, France, Germany, Sweden and Poland in their campaign to destroy human freedom and progress: "Exactly a hundred years after the Fascists acquired power in Italy, their lineal neofascist descendant has come to power at the head of a coalition of three right wing parties. In 1922, the king of Italy capitulated to Benito Mussolini and his threat of a march to Rome. This time the transition is peaceful, democratic, and a legitimate exercise in electoral politics. The social coalition backing the Italian neofascists remains broadly the same: the middle class, broad sections of the urban working class, and the farmers. Only the class of large landowners is missing, being a largely extinct species in Europe. In Europe, as elsewhere globally, there has been a steady drift towards majoritarianism and rejection of liberal democratic values. Protofascist ideas masquerading as Populism have gained widespread support. Hungary and Poland have protofascist forces well entrenched in government. In Sweden, France, and Germany, far right parties have made substantial gains in electoral politics. Who would have imagined that in Italy after Mussolini, France after Vichy, and even in Germany, protofascist parties would not merely survive but thrive? But fascist ideas strike deep roots in the collective psyche and persist even in societies where they were once utterly disavowed. Fascist ideas are mostly abstract and intangible, appealing to emotion rather than to the intellect. A cult of tradition or calling on the past does not require an examination of history or if such a past ever existed. Similarly, fascism readily embraces technology and glorifies it, while simultaneously rejecting modernism or the spirit of scientific enquiry." (*Why Fascism Is On The Rise The World Over* by Kaushik Jayaram). **Communism and Fascism.** They wear as many faces and uniforms as their tactics of dissimulation and their mental pathologies. Red, green, brown, black, swastikas and hammer and sickles. They are antiliberal, antiEnlightenment, antisemitic, antiIsrael and proIslam, and promote a universe of socialist ideologies, from national socialism to international socialism. They fight each other in internecine wars within a single community called *collectivism.* They are communists and fascists and they are the rulers of the late

Western age.

JOE BIDEN'S APPARATUS OF REPRESSION

Moscow, November 1917. A sword and shield was born the likes of which history had never seen before. It was ruthless, iron fisted and struck terror into the hearts of the people. It was called the NKVD, the People's Commissariat of Internal Affairs, and its task was to direct the perpetration of state repression in the new communist state. Its darkest accomplishment was the Great Terror ordered by Joseph Stalin. Commencing in 1938, this campaign sought to eliminate all threats to Stalin's power through killing or jailing opponents. Between 1936 and 1938, one million people were killed and one million people were sent to the Gulag as slave laborers. The organs of communist repression had many names in the history of the former Communist Bloc, the NKVD being among the most notorious, but in the twenty first century, new names were added in the free world. They were added by the nation which had been the envy of oppressed people and the beacon of freedom throughout the world, and the names disgraced that nation as it had never been disgraced before. The names were added by the United States of America, and they have become synonyms and bywords the world over for state repression in the twenty first century. The names are the Department of Justice, the Federal Judiciary and the Federal Bureau of Investigation, and in 2020, they launched a campaign to silence the voices of dissent reminiscent of the NKVD's campaigns. This campaign was called Operation Arctic Frost and it placed under surveillance and investigation the Republican Party and Republican linked organizations and individuals opposed to Joe Biden's administration and to his fraudulent election in 2020. This fraud is attested by the following: by GPS surveillance geolocation data emitted by mobile phones which identified illegal trips made to election drop boxes and nonprofit organizations manufacturing votes for Joe Biden; by video evidence of people illegally placing ballots for Biden into drop boxes; by election laws changed by state courts and officials without legislative approval, as required by the Constitution; by the absence of a chain of custody for mail in ballots; and by absentee votes which should not have been counted for lack of an address. This evidence established the greatest political scandal in the history of modern democracies, and those who exposed it were among the victims of Joe Biden's apparatus of repression. **Operation Arctic Frost.** Look at Operation Arctic Frost and see the DOJ, the Federal Judiciary and the FBI seek to repress the Republican National Committee, the Republican Attorneys General Association, political groups supporting Donald Trump, and Turning Point USA founded by Charlie Kirk. See them seek to repress Trump’s Political Action Committees, fundraising committees, former personal attorney and exNew York City Mayor Rudy Giuliani, and former Department of Justice official Jeffrey Clark: "An FBI investigation launched in the wake of the the 2020 election scrutinized nearly 100 Republican and GOP aligned groups or people—including Turning Point USA, cofounded by slain conservative activist Charlie Kirk, unclassified bureau files released Tuesday show. Senate Judiciary Committee Chairman Chuck Grassley (R-Iowa) published files related to the probe— codenamed Arctic Frost—during a panel hearing, saying the records revealed 'Arctic Frost was much broader than just an electoral matter' and that the investigation 'expanded to Republican organizations.' 'Some examples of the groups the [Christopher] Wray FBI sought to place under political investigation included the Republican National Committee, Republican Attorneys General Association and Trump political groups,' Grassley went on. 'On that political list was one of Charlie Kirk’s groups, Turning Point USA,' he added. 'In other words, Arctic Frost wasn’t just a case to politically investigate Trump. It was the vehicle by which partisan FBI agents and DOJ prosecutors could achieve their partisan ends and improperly investigate the entire Republican political apparatus.' Arctic Frost kicked off in April 2022 and focused on at least 92 Republican linked entities like Kirk’s youth activist organization. Prominent figures swept up in the Arctic Frost dragnet included Trump’s PACs and fundraising committees, former personal attorney and exNew York City Mayor Rudy Giuliani and former Department of Justice official Jeffrey Clark, among others. Clark, who suggested states should look

at voting irregularities and consider appointing new electors who could change the 2020 election results, said Tuesday on X that the files proved he was 'targeted' for his own 'financial records.' Clark now serves as the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) regulatory czar. The Arctic Frost files said Clark, who was reportedly under consideration to become acting attorney general after Bill Barr's departure in December 2020, had 'falsely representing that the DOJ had identified significant concerns relating to the election that may have impacted the outcome of the election.' The probe was run in conjunction with the National Archives the Department of Justice's Office of Inspector General and the US Postal Service. Neither of Smith's cases made it to trial before Trump's reelection in November 2024 and were ultimately dismissed." (*FBI Arctic Frost'Probe Targeted Nearly 100 GOP Groups—Including Charlie Kirk's TPUSA: Docs* by Josh Christenson). **Operation Arctic Frost.** Look at Operation Arctic Frost and see the DOJ, the Federal Judiciary and the FBI obtain the telephone records of Republican lawmakers, containing data on when and to whom calls were made in the days on and around the January 6, 2021 storming of the United States Capital to halt the certification of the fraudulent presidential election. See corrupt judges, prosecutors and a corrupt attorney general seek to repress Republican organizations and individuals with subpeonas and enemies' lists. See them conduct partisan campaigns, disgracing their robes and offices, with the weapons of defamation and secret judicial orders: "Senator Ted Cruz (R-TX) has called on the House to impeach US District Court Judge James Boasberg for helping the FBI obtain the phone records of Republican lawmakers during the Biden administration. In a blistering attack at a news conference this week covering the latest revelations about the bureau's socalled Arctic Frost probe, the Texas senator went after the Washington, DC, chief judge and 2011 Obama appointee, asking, 'Who is Boasberg? Boasberg is that radical leftist judge who is out of control.' Cruz mentioned recent revelations that the FBI reportedly targeted Republican lawmakers' personal phones for 'tolling data' as part of Arctic Frost. That data showed when and to whom calls were made in the days on and around the January 6, 2021, US Capital riot. Arctic Frost formed the basis of special counsel Jack Smith's elector case against President Donald Trump, who he alleged was trying to overturn the 2020 election. Cruz did not mince words when he took to the lectern. 'Arctic Frost is Joe Biden's Watergate,' Cruz said. 'Merrick Garland was a fundamentally corrupt attorney general. Jack Smith was a fundamentally corrupt prosecutor. This was a political enemies list from the beginning—197 subpoenas for 430 Republican entities and individuals. That is an absolute and egregious abuse of power.' Cruz held up Judge Boasberg's order sent to AT&T, which prohibited the cell phone carrier from informing Cruz for at least one year that his records had been subpoenaed. 'My assumption is Judge Boasberg printed these things out like the placemats at Denny's, one after another,' Cruz said. A total of nine lawmakers' phone records were reportedly subpoenaed. 'I am right now calling on the House to impeach Judge Boasberg,' Cruz continued. 'Judge Boasberg put his robes down, stood up, and said, Sign me up to be part of the partisan vendetta against 20% of the Republicans in the Senate. Another senator backed Cruz's call. Cruz said Judge Boasberg's stated reasoning for the AT&T hold was that 'The Court finds reasonable grounds to believe that such disclosure will result in destruction of or tampering with evidence, intimidation of potential witnesses, and serious jeopardy to the investigation.' Cruz was furious at the implication, saying, "I can tell you there is precisely zero evidence to conclude that I am likely to destroy or tamper with evidence or to intimidate potential witnesses. Zero evidentiary basis for that. This order is an abuse of power. This order is a weaponized legal system." (*Ted Cruz: Impeach Judge Who OK'd Spying On GOP Lawmakers' Phones* by Lowell Cauffiel). **Operation Arctic Frost.** Look at Operation Arctic Frost and see the DOJ, the Federal Judiciary and the FBI target Republican lawmakers for round the clock electronic surveillance. See them collect data on when and to whom calls were made, the duration of the calls, and the location of the parties. See them reject lawful conduct in favor of political conduct, and run the constitution through a shredder marked *Progressivism.* See the weaponization of the federal government through Marxification achieved under Joe Biden: "An explosive FBI document obtained by Senate Judiciary Committee Chairman Chuck Grassley (R-

Iowa) reveals the FBI targeted eight Republican senators' personal cell phones for 'tolling data' as part of its Arctic Frost investigation. One Republican member of the House of Representatives was also impacted. The Arctic Frost investigation formed the basis of Jack Smith's elector case against President Donald Trump. The FBI in 2023 sought and obtained data about the senators' phone use from January 4 through January 7, 2021. That data shows when and to whom a call is made, as well as the duration and general location data of the call. The data does not include the content of the call. This document was found in a Prohibited Access file in response to Grassley's oversight requests. Grassley's oversight exposed the existence of Prohibited Access files, a file system the FBI uses to limit access to certain documents by making some files inaccessible to most FBI agents. 'Based on the evidence to date, Arctic Frost and related weaponization by federal law enforcement under Biden was arguably worse than Watergate. 'What I've uncovered today is disturbing and outrageous political conduct by the Biden FBI. The FBI's actions were an unconstitutional breach, and Attorney General Bondi and Director Patel need to hold accountable those involved in this serious wrongdoing." (*Biden FBI Spied on Eight Republican Senators As Part Of Arctic Frost Investigation, Grassley Oversight Reveals*, United States Senate Committee on the Judiciary, October 6, 2025). **Operation Arctic Frost.** Lenin, Trotsky and Stalin. The three architects of Marxist mass murder in the Soviet Union. Lurking in their shadows was another person, less well known than they, but just as murderous. The chief of the NKVD and expander of its slave labor camps, Levrenty Beria proudly proclaimed his terrifying mission: "Let our enemies know that anyone who attempts to raise a hand against the will of our people, against the will of the party of Lenin and Stalin, will be mercilessly crushed and destroyed." Seventy five years after the reign of Levrenty ended, this suited psychopath inhabited by Satan returned to life. He returned in 2022 in the United States of America, and commenced a federal investigation of the voices of freedom opposed to Joe Biden. He collected the mobile phones of Donald Trump and Mike Pence, and he placed 100 Republican lawmakers and Republican linked individuals and organization under round the clock electronic surveillance. He called his abuse of power Operation Arctic Frost.

RED MONSTERS ROAM THE WORLD

Across the world's literature, folklore, fantasy and fiction are dangerous and aggressive creatures which have struck terror into the hearts of people. Grotesque and deformed, they have declared war on the rational order of the world and reject human progress and civilization. Having many names and faces, from werewoves to vampires to mummies to ghosts to mutants, they fuel the fields of nightmares and are the essence of evil. In the twenty first century, they have stepped out en masse from the pages of fiction and formed a community inhabiting homes, schools, offices, businesses, populating an antiexistence which has taken over the world. They are morally, spiritually and intellectually dead and move about by dark currents created by religion. This religion was founded in the nineteenth century and has succeeded Christianity as the number one sewer of the masses. Bringing the Christian God down to earth and calling it the Collective, the religion founded by Karl Marx took over the twentieth century and founded the Communist Bloc, which controlled one third of the planet, held nations across the world its captive, and killed and enslaved 100 million people. In the twentieth century, it developed offshoots, expanding its tribalism into race, gender, culture and sexual orientation, and this variegated and endlessly developing version of Marxism is the official religion, the ruling myth, of the twenty first century. Look at the world today and see electrically charged killers dispatch their victims by indoctrinating them, causing death by mental, moral and spiritual electrocution. **Monsters.** See the promotion of the politics of race, gender and radical environmentalism. See academics, students and independent scholars advocate cultural Marxism. See the condemnation of scientific and industrial civilization as a regime producing grievous environmental threats and catastrophic climate change. See the promotion of a religion of black racism. See the rejection of capitalism in the name of social justice. See the rejection of

empirical geography in favor of black geography. See the promotion of a Marxist based Shakespeare, Irish literature and Victorian popular culture. See the promotion of cannibalism and deviance in the name of progressive politics. See the promotion of Marxist based eugenics and freakery. See Marxist censorship of speech and ideas in the name of decolonizing the discourse. See the rejection of rational motherhood in favor of black motherhood. See the promotion of Marxist based masculine power and action. See the promotion of communism in the name of queerness. See the promotion of Marxist feminist politics. See the promotion of Marxist poetry. See the promotion of Marxist ecology. See the promotion of Marxist language and consciousness. See the rejection of capitalism as dehumanizing. See the rejection of empirical science in favor of the racialization of truth. The promotion of Marxist ethics. See the promotion of Marxist sexuality. See the proclamation that whites are intrinsically inferior to blacks. See the proclamation that whites comprise a class of lesser human beings. See the rejection of human universality in favor black supremacy. See the promotion of comnunism in the name of protecting vulnerable people. See the rejection of capitalism as a fascist regime. See the promotion of Marxist feminist theory. See the promotion of critical race studies which criticize liberal democracy in the name of black dictatorship. See the rejection of empirical science in favor of gender and sexuality studies. See the rejection of Enlightenment ideas in favor of black racial development. See the rejection of universal sports and athletics in favor of black women's fitness. See the promotion of Marxist feminist identity politics. See the rejection of capitalism as a regime of white heteropatriarchal masculinism. See the promotion of Marxist insurrection. See the rejection of liberal democratic order in favor of Marxist New Age capitalism. See the rejection of capitalism as a regime of prejudice and oppression against women, nonwhites and the poor. See the rejection of capitalism as a regime ruled by white patriarchs. See the rejection of the traditional humanities and English instruction in favor of indoctrination in black Marxist history, language and culture. See the rejection of Western liberal civilization as a regime of white power tyranny and serial killing of nonwhites: "The Center for Monster Studies at the University of California at Santa Cruz held its third annual Festival of Monsters conference last week, where more than 80 academics, students, and independent scholars explored left wing ideas on race, gender and the environment through a 'monster theory' lens. UC Santa Cruz Center for Monster Studies, established in 2021, describes itself as 'a group of artists and scholars dedicated to the investigation of monsters and how they are defined throughout history and culture.' 'Monsters play a role in the representation of some of the most challenging problems facing our world: matters of race and religion, social justice, and environmental threats,' the center says on its website. Courses offered at UC Santa Cruz that the Center for Monster Studies describes as 'Monster related Classes' include *Monsters in Drama*; *Critical Realities (climate change monsters)*; *Black Geography Lab*; *Shakespeare*; *Sex and Violence in Irish Literature*; *Frankenstein's Monsters*; and *Victorian Pop Culture*. The conference, held from October 15 to 18, included sessions titled *Cannibalism and Deviance*, *Eugenics and Freakery*, *Monstrous Bodies*, *Zombies, Black Women and Monsters, Monstrous Ethics* and *Don't Fuck with these Women*. Titles of the talks included *So You've Been Accused of Cannibalism*, *Making America Monstrous Again: Queerness And Community In Frankenstein*, *Latin American Freak Shows And Colonializing Discourse*, *Nourishing the Female Monster*, *Never Let Go and Black Monstrous Motherhood*, *Monstrosities/Masculinities/Mobilities*, *How To Get Eaten By A Dragon: Ecopoetics Of Predation*, *Monsters At The Genocide* and *Power Lines: Agency And Complicity In The Naming And Making Of Monsters*. David Livingstone Smith, a philosophy professor at the University of New England, delivered the first keynote speech, which was named *False Monsters, True Horror: The Uncanny Power Of Dehumanization*. In his talk, Livingstone Smith addressed racialization as a precursor to dehumanization, according to *Contingent Magazine*. 'Groups of people who are dehumanized as monsters are almost always first racialized. Racialization precedes dehumanization,' Livingstone Smith said in a similarly themed talk delivered in November 2022. 'To racialize a group of people is to (1) regard them as fundamentally and unalterably different from 'us,' whoever 'us' happens to be,

(2) regard them as intrinsically inferior to ‘us,’ and (3) regard this status as transmitted biologically, mainly by descent, but there are some interesting variations on that if we look cross culturally.' In his 2022 talk, Livingstone Smith argued that racialization leads people to perceive a class of 'lesser human beings,' which thereby allows them to see individuals in that class as 'less than human.' 'I don’t believe in human races,' Livingstone Smith said in the 2022 lecture. He concluded his talk by encouraging the audience to 'learn how dehumanizing propaganda works,' 'take political action to reduce objective vulnerability,' 'recognize that we are all potential dehumanizers,' 'make historical education a priority,' and 'work towards getting rid of the very idea of race.' In the Q and A portion, Livingstone Smith said, 'I think we are dangerously close to fascism.' The second keynote speech was titled *Monsters: A Reckoning* and delivered by Kimberly Lau, a literature professor at UC Santa Cruz. On her personal website, Lau states that she 'teaches courses on fairy tales, monster studies, popular culture, and twentieth and twenty first century women’s fiction, all within the context of feminist theory, critical race studies, and gender and sexuality studies.' Her books include *Specters Of The Marvelous: Race And The Development Of The European Fairy Tale; Body Language: Sisters In Shape, Black Women’s Fitness And Feminist Identity Politics;* and *New Age Capitalism: Making Money East Of Eden*. Monstrosity is 'a manifestation of white heteropatriarchal masculinism,' Lau said during the speech, as reported by *Contingent Magazine*. One of Lau’s more recent academic articles is *Monstrous Longings In The Age of Insurrection: A Twilight Postmortem*, which argues that the *Twilight* young adult series 'celebrates and extends legacies of prejudice and oppression under the guise of everlasting romance, love, and marriage.' 'If, as I am suggesting, Twilight’s popularity represented a metaphorized cultural desire for the return of the now ostensibly marginalized white patriarch to his ‘rightful’ place at the center of society, the January 6 insurrection animated those desires in astonishingly public fashion,' Lau wrote in the article, published in 2024." (*Inside A California University’s Festival of Monsters: Genocide, Racism and Zombies* by Abigail Anthony). **Monsters.** It sold millions of copies, ran into multiple editions and was the bible of the national socialist movement. Inspired by Marxism, it is a manifesto of race politics in place of class politics, and seeks to create a New Order ruled by the Aryan race. It proclaims a Jewish peril and conspiracy to gain world leadership and advocates the extermination Jews. Listen to Hitler's *Mein Kampf* and hear the manifesto of a neoMarxist monster: "The nationalization of our masses will succeed only when their international poisoners are exterminated. If at the beginning of the war and during the war, twelve or fifteen thousand of these Jewish corrupters of the nation had been subjected to poison gas, such as had to be endured in the field by hundreds of thousands of our very best German workers of all classes and professions, then the sacrifice of millions at the front would not have been in vain." (*Mein Kampf*, Volume Two: A Reckoning). Since the mid twentieth century, a Marxist-Hitlerian monster promoting the politics of gender, race, class, culture and sexual orientation has been rampaging across the West, and on the ruins of the Enlightenment has brought forth a new Dark Ages.

DICK CHENEY DIES OF DERANGEMENT

On the evening of November 3, 2025, Richard Bruce Cheney, forty sixth president of the United States under the administration of George Bush, descended into the depths of hell and met his maker. His storied political career changed from day to night with election of Donald Trump in 2016, and one of the leading architects of a noble cause, who helped to chart the course of the Iraqi War, the War on Terror and the war of the free world to defeat global Islam, abandoned his party, became a Republican in name only, and embraced the cause of the far left. By the time he died at the age of 84, Richard Cheney had consigned himself to the ranks of freedom's mortal enemies characterized by a pathological hatred of Donald Trump. The forty fifth and forty seventh president of the United States, Trump stood for what the early Richard Cheney stood for, but by the time Cheney died, he had become a bankrupt shadow of his former self, and died a national disgrace in

the eyes of patriotic, freedom loving Americans. Look at the words of the later Richard Cheney, look at the reality he sought to falsify, and see the mental derangement, the psychic pathology, and the virulent paranoia and hysteria which have served to define opposition to Donald Trump and to the cause he is fighting for, as he seeks to cut back a far left excrescence and return America to centrist politics. **The Words.** Listen to Richard Cheney proclaim that the 2020 presidential election was free and fair: "Former Vice President Dick Cheney announced Friday that he will cast his ballot for Vice President Kamala Harris this fall, confirming news that was first made public by his daughter, former Representative Liz Cheney, earlier in the day. Both Cheneys are Republicans, and the elder served under President George W. Bush. The younger Cheney, who endorsed Harris earlier this week, is one of former President Donald Trump's most prominent conservative critics. 'In our nation's 248 year history, there has never been an individual who is a greater threat to our republic than Donald Trump,' Dick Cheney said in a statement. 'He tried to steal the last election using lies and violence to keep himself in power after the voters had rejected him. He can never be trusted with power again. As citizens, we each have a duty to put country above partisanship to defend our Constitution. That is why I will be casting my vote for Vice President Kamala Harris.' " (*Former Vice President Dick Cheney Says He Will Vote For Harris* by Megan Lebowitz). **The Reality.** Now look at the reality and see an election stolen by people illegally filling out ballots on behalf of friends and family members. An election stolen by people who illegally voted in states of which they were not permanent residents. An election stolen by people forging signatures on ballots and ballot envelopes. An election stolen by people paid by friends, family members or the Democrat Party to vote for Joe Biden: "A new poll by The Heartland Institute and Rasmussen Reports found one in five voters who cast mail in ballots during the 2020 presidential election admit to participating in at least one kind of voter fraud. When asked, 'During the 2020 election, did you fill out a ballot, in part or in full, on behalf of a friend or family member, such as a spouse or child?,' 21% of respondents who said they voted by mail answered *yes*. (Filling out a ballot for someone else is illegal in all states, although many states allow people to assist others with voting.) Additionally, 17% of mail in voters said they voted 'in a state where you were no longer a permanent resident.' Seventeen percent of mail in voters also admitted to signing a 'ballot or ballot envelope on behalf of a friend or family member.' (Both voting in a state where you are no longer a permanent resident and forging a signature on a ballot or ballot envelope are fraudulent activities that invalidate votes, when caught by election officials). According to election data, more than 43 percent of 2020 voters cast ballots by mail, the highest percentage in US History. Further, 10% of all respondents—not just those who said they voted by mail—claimed that they know 'a friend, family member, coworker, or other acquaintance who has admitted that he or she cast a mail in ballot in 2020 in a state other than his or her state of permanent residence.' Eight percent of all respondents said 'a friend, family member, or organization, such as a political party' offered them 'pay' or a 'reward' for agreeing to vote in the 2020 election. Taken together, the results of these survey questions appear to show that voter fraud was widespread in the 2020 election, especially among those who cast mail in ballots. The poll of 1,085 likely voters was conducted from November 30 to December 6, 2023. Among those surveyed in the poll, 33% were Republicans, 36% were Democrats, and 31% were 'other,' 32% were 18-39 years old, 46% were 40-64 years old, and 22% were 65 or older." (*Heartland/Rasmussen Poll: One in Five Mail In Voters Admit to Committing At Least One Kind of Voter Fraud During 2020 Election* by Justin Haskins, Christopher Talgo, Donald Kendal, Jack McPherin, James Taylor and Jim Lakely). **The Reality.** The 2020 presidential election was stolen by the national intelligence community which lied to voters and condemned as Russian disinformation revelations from Hunter Biden's laptop establishing the operation of an influence peddling operation run by Joe Biden while he was vice president. It was stolen by the national intelligence community and the FBI which forced social media companies to censor news reports detailing the laptop evidence of Joe Biden's influence peddling. It was stolen by the director of National Intelligence, the director of the CIA and federal law enforcement officials

who suppressed stories of Joe Biden's wire fraud, tax evasion, money laundering and family business. It was stolen by the American political establishment which suppressed stories of Joe Biden's and Hunter Biden's billion dollar business deals with the Chinese government, the Bank of China and the Chinese Communist Party, and Joe Biden's ten percent, mafia boss take in Hunter Biden's illegal enterprises. It was stolen by the national intelligence community, the FBI and the Democrat Party suppressing a story revealing the greatest malfeasance in American political history, a story which, if publicly known, would have thrown the election to Donald Trump: "Seventy one percent of Americans believe accurate reporting of Hunter Biden's Laptop from Hell could have altered the 2020 presidential election, TIPP Insights polling revealed Monday. Leading up to the election, many in the establishment media falsely claimed Hunter's laptop was Russian disinformation. Those false claims were pushed by many in the intelligence community, who suggested in a nowdebunked *Politico* story from 2020 that Hunter's laptop was 'Russian disinfo.' The poll found over two thirds of Americans believe the intelligence community intentionally lied to voters about the laptop by pushing big tech to censor the first report of Hunter's laptop by *Breitbart News's* Emma-Jo Morris. The recent release of the Twitter Files confirms law enforcement colluded with Twitter to censor Hunter's laptop story. Meta's CEO Mark Zuckerberg has admitted the FBI warned Facebook in 2020 ahead of the story's publication about imminent Russian disinformation. Now, more than two years after the election, nearly two thirds of Americans believe the contents of Hunter's laptop are authentic. The *Daily Mail* reported additional findings from the poll: 'An even wider margin—more than three quarters of respondents—said any collusion between senior Democrats, the FBI, intelligence chiefs and the Biden campaign on suppressing the story would have denied voters access to critical information in the run up to the election. Another half of respondents said they would have voted differently had they known the Hunter Biden's laptop revelations were authentic. Interestingly, Republican and Democratic voters were more or less aligned on this question.' The poll sampled 1,351 Americans from December 7-9 with a 4.5 percent margin of error. In December, the top Republican member of the House Judiciary Committee, Representative Jim Jordan (R-OH), demanded former Director of National Intelligence James Clapper and former CIA Director John Brennan, two men who were an integral part of the 2020 *Politico* story, divulge information related to their attempt to discredit the Hunter laptop story. If the men refuse, Jordan will use his subpoena power in the new Congress to force the two men to comply with his requests. In late November, Representative James Comer (R-KY) announced the House would investigate the Biden family business and the contents found on Hunter's laptop. Comer's investigation will include nine potential violations, including wire fraud, tax evasion, and money laundering. Polling shows 62 percent of voters approve of the investigation, including 42 percent who strongly approve. Thirty four percent disapprove, 23 percent of these strongly disapproving. In 2018 and 2020, *Breitbart* Senior Contributor and Government Accountability Institute President Peter Schweizer published *Secret Empires* and *Profiles In Corruption*. Each book hit number one on the *New York Times* bestseller list and exposed how Hunter Biden and Joe Biden flew to China aboard Air Force Two in 2013 before Hunter's firm inked a $1.5 billion deal with a subsidiary of the Chinese government's Bank of China less than two weeks after the trip. Schweizer's work also uncovered the Biden family's other vast and lucrative foreign deals and cronyism. *Breitbart* Political Editor Emma-Jo Morris's investigative work at the *New York Post* on the Hunter Biden 'laptop from hell' also captured international headlines when she, along with Miranda Devine, revealed that Joe Biden was intimately involved in Hunter's businesses, appearing to even have a 10 percent stake in a company the scion formed with officials at the highest levels of the Chinese Communist Party." (*Poll: 71% Say Accurate Reporting Of Hunter's Laptop Could Have Altered 2020 Election* by Wendell Husebo). **The Reality.** Violence, aggression, assassination attempts, a disorder impairing thought, perception and behavior, a toxic state of mind. The opponents of Donald Trump are the proponents of a cancerous extragrowth expanding across America and consuming centrist politics. They hate Donald Trump because he seeks to slow down

their speeding train of extremism. Their train, their extremism, their hatred of Trump are the products of a mental illness afflicting all proponents of religion. Dick Cheney rode in the cab.

RED STAR AND RED CRESCENT RIDE TO VICTORY IN NEW YORK

Germany, March 5, 1933. The last free elections are held in a united Germany until 1990. The German people make history and become the first citizens of a Western nation to vote away their freedoms and descend peacefully and democratically into a totalitarian nightmare. Adolf Hitler's National Socialist Party receives forty four percent of the vote or seventeen million votes, and the German people ratify Hitler's appointment as chancellor on January 30, 1933. The establishment of statism by the ballot box is the premier political symptom of a civilization in a stage of decline and has struck societies high and low throughout history. It struck ancient Rome through the hammer blows of ceasarism, class wars and mob rule. It struck Germany and other Western nations in the early twentieth century and rendered them unrecognizable. It is a movement of social destruction which spares nothing in its path, which respects no traditions or institutions standing opposed to it, and achieves fulfilment when every last trace of freedom is stamped out, and the individual is reduced to an abject ward, dependent and slave of the state. In the most populous city in America, in the world center of capitalism and finance, and a world leader in commerce, culture, technology, entertainment, media, academic and scientific research, the movement of statism has struck, fueled by the demands of fifty percent of the voters or one million people, and is making plans for the nationalization, collectivization and destruction of the city. On November 5, 2025, the movement of statism struck New York City in the person of Zohran Mamdani, and this figure, this mayor elect of the city, this member of the Democrat Party and the Democratic Socialists of America, this comrade in arms of Marxism and Islam, this antisemite, antizionist and supporter of Palestinian terror, this focal point of the world's main antiEnlightenment trends, this essence of evil, is preparing the final chapter of the Empire State, entitled *Suicide.* Look at Zohran Mamdani and see Caesar and his legions and Hitler and his brownshirts come back to life, and continue their war on reason, liberty and constitutional government. **Caesar-Hitler-Mamdani.** Zohran Mamdani proclaims that a progressive society has declared war on Jews. It places the Democrat Party in the service of Marxism and Islam. It is a scene of radical forces leading global revolution. It seeks to implement Marxist culture and a Marxist agenda. It seeks the global victory of socialism. It leads global campaigns to subvert liberal democracies. It cancels Israel Day Parades and replaces them with Islamic Day Parades and Palestinian Terror Day Parades. It seeks to globalize the Marxist-Muslim intifada against the West. It bans all proIsrael demonstrations and allows only proArab, proMuslim, proMarxist demonstrations. It is animated by a far left vision of man which views human perfection to reside in passive, rightless service to a god called the Collective. It rejects all forms of centrist politics and promotes the blazing sun of extremism in its campaign to abolish human freedom. It condemns the police and border control agents as fascist capitalist jackboots, and seeks to abolish the police, jails, immigration controls and national borders. It is a democratically imposed socialist totalitarian tyranny. It creates far left networks connecting Marxists and Muslims throughout the world. It reduces liberal democracy to a carcass and throws it to the lions of gender, race, culture and sexual orientation politics. It seeks to create a one party state eliminating all parties promoting individual rights. It promotes unlimited welfare spending without regard to fiscal reality. It prohibits all scientific partnerships with Israeli institutions and lets people die of cancer in the name of Marxist-Muslim ideological purity. It rejects human essentialism, universality and equality, and promotes tribal wars, tribal politics and tribal balkanization along gender, race, class, culture and sexual orientation lines: "With Election Day drawing to a close in New York City, veteran Democratic operative Hank Sheinkopf warned that a victory by socialist frontrunner Zohran Mamdani would mark not only the end of Jewish New York but the hijacking of the Democrat Party’s remains by radical forces determined to export their revolution nationwide. In an exclusive

Election Day interview, Sheinkopf said Mamdani's rise to City Hall would mark an inflection point for New York—and for America. 'What it portends is the end of Jewish New York as we know it,' he warned, adding that Mamdani intends to carry out exactly the radical agenda he has promised. 'The culture will change dramatically,' he said, noting that the socialist's victory 'will not be an isolated phenomenon.' Once Mamdani and his DSA allies win in New York, Sheinkopf cautioned, 'they will export this model of radical politics across the country.' Sheinkopf—a veteran Democratic strategist who has advised more than 700 campaigns worldwide, from President Bill Clinton to Mayor Michael Bloomberg— said Mamdani's repeated calls to 'globalize the intifada' must be understood in full context, as such rhetoric can lead to deadly consequences. He warned that Mamdani's slogan, 'globalize the intifada,' encapsulates a radical worldview that poses real danger if brought into City Hall. Sheinkopf said the kind of extreme rhetoric Mamdani has embraced is something New York's Jewish community has been paying very close attention to, noting that his words and radical associations have caused deep alarm and anxiety across the community. He predicted a major exodus of Jews who can afford to leave the city should Mamdani be elected mayor. Sheinkopf cautioned that Jewish institutions could face mounting pressure if voters elevate Mamdani to City Hall. 'He has the capacity to do serious damage,' he said, warning that even long standing events like the Israel Day Parade could come under threat. 'He's spoken about the 'appropriateness' of demonstrations—but what kind? Against Israel, against Jews, against Jewish institutions.' Sheinkopf warned that Mamdani's agenda would not face much resistance beyond City Hall, predicting the state's progressive bloc would help advance his far left vision. 'He's going to have a legislature that's more like him than not—far to the left and less in the center,' he said. 'They'll likely help him carry out the kind of governance he believes is appropriate.' Turning to public safety, the Democratic political veteran pointed to Mamdani's record and rhetoric toward law enforcement as deeply troubling. 'He's put the NYPD and the IDF in the same place—as being jack booted institutions,' Sheinkopf said, referencing the socialist's 2023 remark, 'When the boot of the NYPD is on your neck, it's been laced by the IDF.' The longtime strategist said such rhetoric revealed 'outright contempt for law enforcement,' adding that Mamdani 'is not propolice; he is antipolice, and he is antijails—he said this publicly.' Sheinkopf said Mamdani's thin record in Albany underscores how little real world experience he brings to the race. 'He's got, what, two and a half terms—five years in the State Assembly—and he was not showing up very often because he was too busy running for office,' the veteran Democratic operative said. Sheinkopf said the DSA's national ambitions—and Mamdani's campaign as their latest vehicle— threaten to reshape the Democratic Party far beyond New York. He cautioned that if Mamdani wins, 'this project will reverberate well beyond the five boroughs.' The operative said the socialist's victory would embolden left wing networks in other states to pursue similar takeovers of local Democratic parties, using the New York model as their blueprint. 'Anybody who thinks this is a one state or one city phenomenon is foolish,' he said. The consultant described the Democratic Party's transformation in stark terms. 'The Democrat Party died a long time ago—we just haven't buried the carcass,' Sheinkopf said. 'And the carcass contains, let's go back. If you have a body that's dead, right? What happens to it? It turns into something else. There's nothing in the world that doesn't have some other use, something that happens to it.' 'So this carcass is there,' he continued. 'And in most states in the country, you cannot get on the ballot as a third party. But if you're able to take over the body of the Democrat Party—and you're a Democratic Socialist—what happens? You now have the capacity to run. In most states, you cannot get on the ballot as a third party. But now you can.' The Democratic strategist warned that Mamdani's economic promises betray a dangerous ignorance of fiscal reality. 'The things he's talking about—free busing, city run groceries'are going to be very costly,' Sheinkopf said. 'The city's in a precarious financial condition. The state has a significant deficit. Where's the money going to come from? You can't run a deficit under the statutes put in place after the 1975 fiscal crisis.' He cited Mamdani's stated opposition to projects like the Technion–Cornell initiative—a partnership between Cornell University and Israel's Technion

Institute of Technology—as an example of ideology overriding common sense. 'When you talk about shutting that down, why don't you just tell people it's okay not to fight cancer?' Sheinkopf said. 'That's what they're really saying—you don't have to fight disease.' The Democratic operative warned that a Mamdani administration would hasten the erosion of pluralism itself. 'It shows a complete lack of understanding or a deliberate desire to destroy the United States of America,' he said. 'They're isolating communities, pitting groups against each other, and dismantling what makes this country work.' " (*Veteran Democratic Operative: Mamdani, Radical DSA Seized Democratic Party 'Carcass'* by Joshua Klein). **Caesar-Hitler-Mamdani.** On November 4, 2025, the voices of the past returned to haunt New York City. They manifested themselves in the person of Zohran Mamdani. Speaking through the city's mayor elect, Caesar and Hitler, like the mayor elect two politicians with the backing of the people, advocated the following: government subsidized, zero fare buses; government subsidized, free child care; government owned groceries; transgender rights; government subsidized apartments; rent freezes; government subsidized, tuition free college; a thirty dollar minimum wage; raising property taxes by ten billion dollars; "seizing the means of production"; the abolition of private property; abolishing billionnaires: "I don't think we should have billionnaires"; the replacment of the police by social workers; the abolition of gang databases; prohibiting the police from cooperating with federal immigration authorities; organizing a Boycott, Disinvestment and Sanctions regime against Israel; and arresting Benjamin Netanyahu as a war criminal while granting Muslim terrorists free rein in the city. Under the aegis of Caesar and Hitler, Zohran Mamdani has become the latest voice of the Marxist-Muslim axis ruling America.

ELECTION DAY, NOVEMBER 4, 2025: MARXISTS SWEEP AMERICA

A storm surge is a coastal flood caused by high speed winds. In the face of these cyclone generated attacks, flood barriers have little effect, and the surges wreak substantial property damage, loss of life and land destruction. They decrease soil fertility, increase saltwater intrusion, and harm wildlife habitat. On November 4, 2025, an electoral storm surge hit America and served as the latest illustration of the far left's power in the declining stages of the nation. In the presidential election of 2024, Kamala Harris received forty eight percent of the popular vote, or seventy five million votes, approximatetly the same as Donald Trump, and the result, a fifty-fifty nation, half centrist and half Marxist, spoke again on November 4, 2025. On this latest Election Day, the Marxist half of the nation created an electoral surge which sprayed a cold shower on anyone still believing that centrism is winning under the presidency of Donald Trump. In the twenty first century, America is a nation divided between centrists and Marxists, while the founding American ideal of rugged individualism and constitutional rights is a distant, fading memory. In the twenty first century, America is dominated by two collectivist factions which differ from each other only in the degree to which they seek to subordinate the individual to the state, and differ only in the rejection by the centrists of the far left excresences of transgender rights, racist and sexist affirmative action policies, and open borders allowing in the migrant scum of the earth. On Election Day, November 4, 2025, the Marxist half of the nation spoke and unleashed a Democrat Party blue wave which served only to reaffirm America's divided character as it descends into collapse. Look at the electoral surge and see the Marxist half of the nation in action**. Democrat Victory.** Look at Election Day and see the soldier of a Marxist-Muslim axis, Zohran Mamdani, elected mayor of New York City. See Democrat candidates elected as governors of New Jersey and Virginia. See a Democrat elected attorney general of Virginia. See three incumbent Democrats on the Pennsylvania Supreme Court win reelection and preserve the Democrat majority. See California voters approve Proposition 50, a constitutional amendment allowing the state to use an electoral map for the House of Representatives creating Democrat districts: "Democrats made a clean sweep in the three biggest contested elections in the United States on Tuesday night. The winners include Zohran Mamdani, a Muslim and self described democratic socialist who will become the next mayor of New York City.

In New Jersey, Mikie Sherrill is projected to become the next governor. And in Virginia, Abigail Spanberger will become the state's first female governor, and her fellow Democrat Jay Jones will defeat incumbent Republican Attorney General Jason Miyares. In California, voters approved Proposition 50, a constitutional amendment allowing the state to use a new map for US House districts that favors Democrats. In Pennsylvania, voters kept three incumbent Democrats on the state Supreme Court, preserving their party's 5-2 majority. Even before all of the races were called, Senate Minority Leader Chuck Schumer, a New York Democrat, touted their outcomes as a sharp rebuke to President Donald Trump, a Republican." (*Democrats Win Big On Election Night In Key Races* by Dan Mangan). **Democrat Victory.** Look at Election Day and see the financial capital of the world under attack by mayor elect Zohran Mamdani. See a Marxist seek to seize the means of production, ban private property and exterminate billionaires. See an engine of radicalism seek to tax the producers of wealth out of existence and drive them out of the city. See a Marxist declare war on public safety, seek to defund the police, cut its size, stop hiring new officers, eliminate its gang database, and render the city defenseless in the face of rising crime. See a Marxist seek to take control over the city's social, political and economic system. See a Marxist seek to implement his fearsome creed and provoke a mass exodus of decent, law abiding people. See a Marxist prohibit local police from cooperating with federal law enforcement authorities, and seek to establish a sanctuary for the migrant scum of the earth. See a Marxist join forces with Muslim extremists, imams and clerics in their campaign of terror bombing against the city, the nation and the West, a campaign which included the 1993 World Trade Center terror bombing, and the 9/11 2001 terror bombing of the World Trade Center and the Pentagon, killing 3000 people. See a Marxist raise funds in support of the Muslim terror organization, the United Nations Relief and Works Agency for Palestine Refugees in the Near East, which participated in the October 7, 2023 Hamas terror attacks against Israel. See a Marxist support Hamas activists rejecting peaceful coexistence with Israel. See a Marxist declare war on the city's Jewish community under the banners of Muslim radicalism. See a Marxist support violence and incitement against Israel. See a Marxist seek to arrest Israel's prime minister. See a Marxist support the arming of Hamas to the hilt in its war to annihilate Israel. See a Marxist seek to globalize the Muslim intifada against Jews, Israel and Western liberal civilization: "Representative Mike Lawler (R-NY) warned New York City—the financial capital of the world—would face 'a complete disaster' if socialist Assemblyman Zohran Mamdani takes power, cautioning his radical agenda on policing and governance will endanger the city's safety and security and trigger a mass exodus that harms both New York and the nation. Speaking in an exclusive interview with *Breitbart News*, Lawler—who represents New York's 17th Congressional District— described Mamdani's overall governing platform as 'not just socialist but Marxist to its core,' pointing to proposals such as 'seizing the means of production,' banning private property, and abolishing billionaires entirely. Lawler said Mamdani's plan to raise taxes by about $9 billion 'would drive businesses out of New York,' while his pledge to defund the police and eliminate the NYPD's gang database 'would further endanger public safety.' Together, he warned, the candidate's 'extreme Marxist economic and social policies' would devastate the city's economy and unravel its security infrastructure. 'The idea that you would have an avowed socialist engaged in Marxist theory running the financial capital of the world is going to lead to a mass exodus—a complete disaster for both New York and the country,' Lawler added for emphasis. Lawler warned that New York's security picture would 'deteriorate quickly' under Mamdani's policies, emphasizing the need for cooperation between local and federal law enforcement. 'New York has always been a target—one we have to protect by remaining evervigilant and ensuring our federal, state, and local partners continue working together,' he said. 'That's why it's essential to have an NYPD that cooperates with the federal government.' He cautioned that Mamdani's record suggests the opposite. 'The way he manages the NYPD will directly affect the city's security,' Lawler said. 'If he defunds the department, cuts its size, or stops hiring new officers, it will weaken New York's ability to defend itself.' Lawler also cited Mamdani's close ties to extremist figures, calling his association with

Imam Siraj Wahhaj—an Islamic cleric named as an unindicted coconspirator in the 1993 World Trade Center bombing—'deeply troubling given New York's history of terrorism.' He noted that Mamdani 'was raising money for UNRWA just weeks ago,' referring to the UN agency Congress defunded after revelations that its employees participated in the October 7 terrorist attack in Israel that 'killed Americans and New Yorkers.' 'Broadly speaking, his associations with an unindicted coconspirator from the 1993 World Trade Center bombing, coupled with people engaged in what I would describe as the proHamas wing of the Democrat Party, are troubling to say the least,' Lawler said. 'These associations, coupled with his rhetoric, raise serious questions about his judgment and whether he'll stand up for New York's Jewish community and against radical Islamic extremism,' Lawler continued. The congressman pointed to Mamdani's refusal to condemn violent antiIsrael incitement—including calls to 'globalize the intifada'—and his claim that he would arrest Israel's prime minister if he came to New York for the UN. General Assembly. 'At the same time,' Lawler noted, 'he says he has no opinion on whether Hamas should lay down its arms.' " (*Representative Lawler Warns: Mamdani's Marxist Agenda Will Shatter NYC's Global Financial Standing, Spark Mass Exodus* by Joshua Klein). **Democrat Victory.** Look at Election Day and see a Marxist running for attorney general in Virginia achieve victory. See Jay Jones achieve over fifty percent of the vote and advocate the murder of Republicans and their spouses and children. See him proclaim that Donald Trump is worse than Pol Pot and Hitler, and deserves assassin's bullets fired from the rifles of the people: "Jay Jones, the Democrat who ignored numerous calls to drop out after fantasizing about murdering Republicans and their children, has won the race for attorney general in Virginia, the state's top cop. Jones, who collected 50.9% of the vote, ousted Republican incumbent Jason Miyares, who received 49.1 % and had been endorsed by President Donald Trump. Journalist Benny Johnson highlighted the murderous texts from Jones, and said, 'Democrats in Virginia saw these texts from Jay Jones and decided yes, he has my vote! Truly evil. You can't live in a country with people that want you dead.' Unearthed text messages Jones wrote in 2022 about former Virginia House Speaker Todd Gilbert has the Democrat saying: 'Three people two bullets. Gilbert, hitler, and pol pot. Gilbert gets two bullets to the head. Spoiler: put Gilbert in the crew with the two worst people you know and he receives both bullets every time.' Pol Pot was the notorious head of Cambodia's murderous Khmer Rouge regime. He also targeted Gilbert's wife and children, indicating: 'Only when people feel pain personally do they move on policy. I mean do I think Todd and Jennifer are evil? And that they're breeding little fascists? Yes.' " (*Democrat Jay Jones, Who Fantasized About Killing Republican and Children, Wins Attorney General In Virginia* by Joe Kovacs). **Democrat Victory.** The Democrat victory across America on Election Day, November 4, 2025 was led by those calling themselves democratic socialists. They advocate the establishment of socialism by the ballot box and not by the use of force. But socialism in its pure, consistent form abolishes democracy, for socialism, consistenty implemented, deprives the individual of all his rights, including the right to vote. A pure, consistent socialist society is an undemocratic society and is run by a dictatorial power. This is the vision of the Democrat Party in its war against America.

MATHEMATICS IN FREE FALL

Euclid alone has looked on Beauty bare./Let all who prate of Beauty hold their peace,/And lay them prone upon the earth and cease/To ponder on themselves, the while they stare/At nothing, intricately drawn nowhere/In shapes of shifting lineage; let geese/Gabble and hiss, but heroes seek release/From dusty bondage into luminous air./O blinding hour, O holy, terrible day,/When first the shaft into his vision shone/Of light anatomized! Euclid alone/Has looked on Beauty bare. Fortunate they/Who, though once only and then but far away,/Have heard her massive sandal set on stone. (*Euclid Alone Has Looked On Beauty Bare* by Edna St Vincent Millay). Mathematics. The science of quantity disclosing the numbers and shapes of the world, epitomizing logical reasoning and human intellectual achievement, and serving as the indispensable adjunct to the physical sciences

and technology for centuries. Mathematics. A body of axioms derived from reality and the theorems deduced therefrom, the science of multitude and magnitude, given to the world by the ancient Greeks, whose geometer Euclid provided the model and template of the science. In the twenty first century, two thousand years after Euclid composed his *Elements* and established himself as the father of geometry, mathematics is under attack and has become a science in name only. In the twenty first century, two thousand years after the father of geometry disclosed the figures and sizes of all the things we see, mathematics has been hijacked by religion and flown into a netherworld of political propaganda and agitation. It has been hijacked by the number one religion of our time, by a king sewer flowing with the human bacteria of gender, race and class politics, and has been transformed into a body of ideological statements peddling hate. In the twenty first century, in a culture light years removed from the rational Apollonian culture of the ancient Greeks and Romans, in the culture of the West, mathematics has become a weapon of Marxism and is in a state of free fall, and has become as unrecognizable as the culture which destroyed it. Look at mathematics today and see a science, once exalted for its level of rigor and abstraction, reduced to a tub thumping, rabble rousing movement of world revolution**. Mathematics Today.** The powers that be in the West today proclaim that traditional mathematics is oppressive, an enemy of women, the poor and people of color, and an instrument of environmental despoliation. They proclaim that traditional mathematics is a Western cultural construct without relation to reality. They proclaim that such statements as *two plus two equal four*, *three times three are nine*, and *there are three hundred and sixty degrees in a circle* are products of Western mathematics and possess no universal truth. They proclaim that the statement that *two and two equal four* is a product of Western counting and not Aboriginal counting, and possesses no validity beyond the West. They proclaim that there is no universal, transcultural definition of addition, subtraction, multiplication or division. They proclaim that there is no universal, transcultural definition of geometric shapes, measurements or degrees. They proclaim that traditional mathematics is a lash of white power. They proclaim that traditional mathematics deprives nonwhites of their rights, freedoms and economic opportunities. They proclaim that traditional mathematics is an instrument of a white, Anglo-Saxon, Protestant regime. They proclaim that there are Western ways of counting and Chinese ways of counting, and one hold no greater truth than the other. They proclaim that traditional mathematics is an instrument of capitalist power and oppression. They proclaim that progressive mathematics advocates identity politics in place of truth and logic. They proclaim that black students do not do well in traditional mathematics because the latter, employing logical reasoning from empirically derived axioms, is racist, and blacks will only succeed if mathematics is politicized and transformed to promote the doctrine of black supremacy: "California's latest K–12 test scores were released earlier this month. Despite spending 26 percent more per pupil after inflation since 2011, test scores remain low, and improvement is proceeding at a glacial pace. Just 40 percent of California schoolchildren are proficient at math. What should be done? Seattle's idea is to teach their students that US math education is racist, is used to oppress people of color and the disadvantaged, and has been used to exploit natural resources. According to Seattle educators, math instruction in the United States is an example of 'Western Math,' which apparently is the appropriation of mathematical knowledge by Western culture. While everyone agrees that two plus two are four, three times three is nine, and that there are three hundred and sixty degrees in a circle, Western Math critics worry about more nuanced issues, such as why we teach kids Western counting and not, for example, how the Aborigines count. Apparently, ancient cultures also used different terminology to refer to addition, subtraction, multiplication, and division. They may have focused on geometric shapes different from triangles and circles. They may have called the degrees in a circle something other than degrees. And now it seems that math education—in all of its abstraction—should become culturally and socially focused away from those Westerners who coopted it. Students will be taught how Western Math is used as a tool of power and oppression, and that it disenfranchises people and communities of color. They will be taught that Western Math limits economic opportunities for

people of color. They will be taught that mathematics knowledge has been withheld from people of color. If you are struggling to understand the logic of this, you are not alone. For the life of me, I don't know how the Pythagorean theorem, for example, or Euclidean geometry, more broadly, oppress people or communities of color, or how these foundations of mathematics have been appropriated by Western culture. In fact, I really doubt that anyone whose foremost interest is in culture—Western or otherwise—thinks much about Pythagoras or his famous theorem and whether the relationship between the sides of a triangle denigrates people of color or has been used to promote WASPs and the wealthy. Seattle's proposal implicitly claims that it will be more successful in teaching math. Perhaps, but I am unaware of any compelling evidence supporting this view. And I see no reason why telling kids that they have been oppressed by Western Math would lead to better learning outcomes. For example, would anyone understand geometry any better if they knew that Pythagoras may have been a vegetarian, or that he may have practised mysticism? (I am assuming that these two practices are outside mainstream Western culture, but then again, maybe the West has appropriated veganism and mysticism? This is really making my head spin). Would kids learn how to tabulate numbers more effectively if teachers spent weeks describing the history and use of the Chinese abacus? Seattle's idea about racist math education will be right up California's alley. Last August, California educators released a draft of a statewide ethnic studies curriculum for public comment. The California curricular proposal also focuses on racism and is heavy on ideology, with pot shots taken at most anything and everything Western. Take for example capitalism. In one of the most uninformed economic criticisms I have ever seen, the proposal states that capitalism is a tool for power and oppression (sound familiar?), which fits right in with Seattle educators. Just how bad is California's student math performance? You can judge for yourself, based on the following question that was asked to 11th graders: Add the square root of 16 and the third root of 8. The square root of 16 is 4 (4 x 4) and the third root of 8 is 2 (2 x 2 x 2). Four plus two is six. Doable for a 17 year old who has been taking mathematics, yes? No. Only about 37 percent of students answered the question correctly. This percentage is not much above 25 percent, which would have been the number of correct answers if students had simply randomly guessed from the four possible answers provided. We had better either improve math education pronto or start to recruit better trained students to the state. There is a better way to help California's kids succeed at math than to go down the road of racism and identity politics. Simply reintroduce the principles of math education used in the state before the development of Common Core curriculum. Before Common Core, California had its own mathematics curriculum written primarily by Stanford University Mathematics Department faculty. But as education experts have noted, the Common Core math curriculum was never developed to be on par with best-practice international standards, nor did Common Core provide adequate coverage of K–12 math topics. It is not racism nor the appropriation of mathematical knowledge by Westerners that is the reason for deficient math learning by our children. It is something much simpler. It is a poorly designed math curriculum that the state mistakenly adopted." (*Seattle Schools Propose To Teach That Math Education Is Racist—Will California Be Far Behind?* by Lee Ohanian). **Mathematics Today.** The powers that be in the West today proclaim that traditional mathematics, governed by the linear principle of noncontradiction, is a racist and sexist product of white, male Western culture. They proclaim that traditional mathematics is a weapon of white capitalist discrimination against women, nonwhites and the poor. They proclaim that there is no universal, transracial, transgender science of arithmetic, geometry, algebra, trigonometry or calculus, and the belief that there is such is a product of Western exclusion, chauvinism and supremacy. They proclaim that a progressive mathematics is welcoming and inclusive and affirms that there are no universal mathematical truths, and that girls, blacks and brown skinned people should be allowed to believe that two plus two equal six: "For the past eight months, a philosophical war has raged in California education circles over a plan to sharply, even radically, change math instruction at all grade levels. In January, the state Instructional Quality Commission released a draft a 'mathematics framework' that would shift instruction from the

traditional, and somewhat linear, approach to one steeped in race and culture, or *woke* in contemporary parlance. The draft declares that traditional math instruction, in which students progress from counting and simple arithmetic into geometry, algebra, trigonometry and eventually calculus as they advance through the grades, 'has much to correct (because) the subject and community of mathematics has a history of exclusion and filtering, rather than inclusion and welcoming.' 'There persists a mentality that some people are ‘bad in math (or otherwise do not belong), and this mentality pervades many sources and at many levels,' the draft continues. 'Girls and black and brown children, notably, represent groups that more often receive messages that they are not capable of high level mathematics, compared to their white and male counterparts.' " (*California Educators Battle Over Woke Math* by Dan Walter). **Mathematics Today.** Jusepe de Ribera was a seventeenth century Spanish Baroque painter known for his history subjects and episodes from Greek mythology. Among his most famous works is a portrait of Euclid holding a copy of his treatise *Elements*, the first treatise on mathematics in history. The Marxists in charge of the Western cultural establishment have filled a pot with the blackest ink in existence. This ink was taken from a human sewer flowing with the dogmas of *social justice*, *racial equity*, *radical feminism* and *antiEnlightenment*. They have thrown it at the portrait of Euclid and blotted out mathematics.

THE TRANSGENDERIST PERPETUAL MOTION MACHINE

Oh you seekers after perpetual motion, how many vain chimeras have you pursued? Go and take your place with the alchemists! (*Leonardo da Vinci's Notebooks*, edited by Edward McCurdy). Perpetual motion. The alleged motion of bodies continuing forever. A perpetual motion machine. A machine alleged to do work continuously without an external energy source. The fuel of fantastic speculations for centuries, perpetual motion is an impossibility due to the law of causality. This law says that energy cannot be created from nothing or be destroyed into nothing. It says that something cannot come from nothing, and that one cannot obtain energy without paying for it. Outside of nature, in the fields of culture and human affairs, perpetual motion does indeed exist and can strike as a terrifying reality. In the twenty first century, perpetual motion has come alive and is running the West into extinction. It exists because the religion behind it enjoys a level of mass support which will not die. This late stage Western religion of the sewers, flowing endlessly with the human bacteria of gender, race and class politics, is known as Marxism, and among its manifestations is a perpetual motion machine known as transgenderism. This twenty first Marxist religion denies the biological basis of gender determination. It denies that chromsomes carry genes which determine human gender and that the latter is thus fixed and immutable. It denies what Nettie Stevens and Edmund Wilson discovered in 1905, that females possess two X chromsomes, males possess an X chromosome and a Y chromosome, and this genetic reality cannot be changed by any human decision or intervention. Transgenderism denies all this, and its ascendancy and popularity in the West is a perpetual motion machine performing the work of agitation and propaganda without surcease, because the late Western age is an age of religion. Rejecting the principles of the Enlightenment, the age which dawned in the twentieth century has been a luxuriant field for the proliferation of religions, and hosts a community ranging from the Marxist Left to the Christian Right. The transgenderist insanity peddled by the Marxist Left in the present new Dark Ages is here to stay and will continue to be active in every corner of society by the consent of the man on the street, the neighbors next door, the poster boys and girls for cultural degeneration. **Transgenderism Today.** To standing ovations of the masses, the voices of transgenderism today continue to do their work and continue to impact the institutions around them. The media establishment continues to proclaim that socalled transgender women are as real as real biological women. It continues to refer to socalled transgender women simply as women. It continues to poison the airwaves with transactivist language. It continues to refer to pregnant women as pregnant people. It continues to censor those who reject transgenderism and take them off the air. Universities continue to accept

men who claim they are women in women's colleges. Government health services continue to allow people who claim to be members of the opposite sex into single sex spaces. High schools and primary schools continue to allow people who claim to be members of the opposite sex into bathrooms reserved for male and female pupils. Educational associations continue to enforce "transinclusion policies" and continue to require that members use the preferred pronouns of those who claim to be members of the opposite sex. The European Union continues to demand that member states give children claiming to be members of the opposite sex legal recognition as such, and the right to undergo surgical mutilation and chemical castration. The European Union continues to prohibit parents, teachers and psychotherapists from counselling mentally disordered children who claim they are members of the opposite sex. Nations continue to give people who make a simple declaration that they are members of the opposite legal recognition as such. Nations continue to allow people convicted of crimes and sentenced to jail, who claim to be members of the opposite sex, to do their time in the jails of their choice. Nations continue to demand sex education courses in schools which promote transgenderism to children and seek to transform them into Manchurian candidates for the cause: "It's tempting to declare that the trans mania has passed. In the U.S., Donald Trump's reelection has heralded an administration that recognizes reality. In the UK, the UK Supreme Court ruling on biological sex marked a stunning victory against the legal primacy of gender ideology. One recent poll showed that the number of young Americans identifying as transgender has dropped by almost half in two years. But has the worst really passed? We learned this week that the BBC has been captured by trans activists, who, according to a leaked internal dossier by former Editorial Guidelines and Standards Committee advisor Michael Prescott, refused to cover stories that did not fit a protrans narrative and fought to keep gender critical perspectives off air. Their actions led to a 'a constant drip feed of one sided stories celebrating the trans experience without adequate balance or objectivity,' the memo said. In it, Prescott also raised the issue of the BBC confusing its audience by neglecting to be clear about whether the subjects of news stories—particularly criminals—were transgender. BBC News articles about crimes committed by transidentifying men would often describe the perpetrator as 'a transgender woman' without explaining he was a biological male. Even worse, criminals would sometimes be referred to only as 'a woman.' In one particularly egregious example of this, a presenter on the BBC's *One O'Clock News* reported that 'a woman' named Scarlet Blake had murdered a factory worker in Oxford, but failed to mention that Blake was actually transgender. This omission was repeated in online articles about the case. The BBC did later admit that this was a mistake and corrected the error, but it is astonishing that the broadcaster did not initially feel the need to include this vital piece of information. It doesn't seem like much has changed, either. Also this week, the BBC's Executive Complaints Unit (ECU) upheld a complaint against one of its presenters who refused to use transactivist language on air this summer. While the teleprompter script wanted Martine Croxall to describe expectant mothers as 'pregnant people,' she rolled her eyes and quickly corrected herself, saying 'pregnant women' instead. Twenty members of the public wrote in to complain about Croxall, and the ECU has now decided to rule against her—not only because of her use of the word *women*, but also because of her facial expression, which the ECU said showed 'disgust, ridicule, contempt or exasperation.' The unit also pointed to the fact that gender critical people had cheered her on online, and this was apparently proof that she had expressed 'a controversial view about trans people.' In the UK, there's still a long way to go before the trans debate can be considered over. Last weekend, women's rights activists gathered in London, Cardiff, and Edinburgh to demand that the Supreme Court's ruling actually be implemented. Despite the ruling back in April, many institutions are still refusing to implement it and are insisting that male and female be defined by gender, rather than sex. Cambridge University's oldest women only college, Newnham College, is still accepting men who identify as women. Doctors from the British Medical Association dismissed it as 'scientifically illiterate.' More than six months on, NHS Scotland is yet to publish its updated guidance on single sex spaces in light of the ruling, and it took months for the Scottish government

to finally get round to forcing schools to provide separate bathrooms for male and female pupils. Practically every institution in the UK remains in thrall to trans ideology—even in the most unexpected of places. Last week, it was reported that the London Maths Society is facing legal action over its 'transinclusion policy.' The club's rules state that any member who repeatedly and deliberately fails to use a person's preferred pronouns could face suspension. One member, senior King's College London lecturer John Armstrong, is now threatening to sue the society, as he believes that these rules discriminate against members with gender critical beliefs. It's not entirely clear why a maths society would need a 'transinclusion policy' in the first place. But the fact that these kinds of culture war squabbles are continuing to play out indicates that the dominance of gender ideology is far from over. On the continent, the situation is perhaps even worse. When it comes to woke, Europe always tends to lag a few years behind the Anglosphere. As a result, many West European countries are only now reaching the zenith of trans insanity. The European Union announced last month that it wants children to be able to identify as transgender at any age and intends to punish any member state that disagrees. The EU also wants to crack down on socalled LGBT conversion therapy—that means, in practice, making it illegal for teachers, therapists and even parents to refuse to affirm a child's supposed gender identity. Germany's new Self Determination Act, in force since November 2024, already lets adults change legal sex by simple declaration. This summer, this law was at the center of a controversy, when far right activist Sven (now Marla-Svenja) Liebich used it to get himself placed in a women's prison. He showed up in court for incitement to hatred, slander, and insult, dressed in leopard print, a large wide brimmed sun hat, and comical round sunglasses—while still sporting a moustache. He demanded to be sent to a women's prison and, incredibly, the court obliged. Other countries remain under the thumb of trans activism. France has just rolled out a new sex education syllabus that teaches kids about gender ideology." (*The Trans Mania Isn't Over Just Yet* by Lauren Smith). **Transgenderism Today.** *A rejection [of a patent application] on the ground of lack of utility includes the more specific grounds of inoperativeness, involving perpetual motion*. (*Manual Of Patent Examining Procedure*, United States Government Patent Office). *Processes or articles alleged to operate in a manner which is clearly contrary to well established physical laws, such as perpetual motion machines, are regarded as not having industrial application*. (*Manual Of Patent Practice*, United Kingdom Patent Office). Perpetual motion, an impossibility in the universe. Agitating and propagandizing under the rainbow flags of gender ideology and the rejection of biological reality, the transgenderist movement remains powerful and influential in a culture which cannot reform itself.